On a fractional differential inclusion arising from real estate asset securitization and HIV models

AURELIAN CERNEA

Communicated by George Dinca

Abstract - We study a class of fractional differential inclusion arising from real estate asset securitization and HIV models and we establish a Filippov type existence result in the case of nonconvex set-valued maps.

Key words and phrases : differential inclusion, fractional derivative, boundary value problem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 34A60, 34A08.

1. Introduction

In this note we study the following problem

$$-D^{\alpha}x(t) \in F(t, x(t), -D^{\beta}x(t)) \quad a.e. \ ([0,1]), \\ D^{\beta}x(0) = D^{\beta+1}x(0) = 0, \quad D^{\beta}x(1) = \int_{0}^{1} D^{\beta}x(s)dA(s),$$
(1.1)

 D^{α} is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, $\beta \in (0,1)$, $\alpha \in (2,3]$, $\alpha - \beta > 1$, $F : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is a set-valued map, A(.) is a function of bounded variation and $\int_0^1 D^{\beta} x(s) dA(s)$ denotes the Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

The present note is motivated by a recent paper of Ahmad and Ntouyas ([1]) where existence results for problem (1.1) are established for convex as well as nonconvex set-valued maps. The existence results in [1] are based on a nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and some suitable theorems of fixed point theory. For the motivation, examples and a consistent bibliography on fractional differential equations and inclusions we refer to [1] and the references therein. We mention, only, that in [5] the authors discussed the existence and uniqueness of solutions for problem (1.1) with F single valued which represents a model for a problem arising from real estate asset securitization. On the other hand, motivated by a model of HIV infection Yang [6] considered the existence of nontrivial solutions for the fractional differential system

$$\begin{aligned} -D^{\alpha}x(t) &= \lambda f(t, x(t), -D^{\beta}x(t), y(t)) & -D^{\gamma}y(t) = g(t, x(t)) \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ D^{\beta}x(0) &= 0, \ D^{\beta}x(1) = \int_{0}^{1} D^{\beta}x(s) dA(s), \ y(0) = 0, \ y(1) = \int_{0}^{1} y(s) dB(s), \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < \gamma < \alpha \leq 2$, $1 < \alpha - \beta < \gamma$, $0 < \beta - 1$ and A(.), B(.) are functions of bounded variation.

The aim of this note is to show that Filippov's ideas ([3]) can be suitably adapted in order to obtain the existence of solutions for problem (1.1). Recall that for a differential inclusion defined by a lipschitzian set-valued map with nonconvex values, Filippov's theorem ([3]) consists in proving the existence of a solution starting from a given "quasi" solution. Moreover, the result provides an estimate between the "quasi" solution and the solution obtained. In this way we improve an existence result for problem (1.1) in [1].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some preliminary results that we need in the sequel and in Section 3 we prove our main results.

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Recall that the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance of the closed subsets $A, B \subset X$ is defined by

$$d_H(A,B) = \max\{d^*(A,B), d^*(B,A)\}, \ d^*(A,B) = \sup\{d(a,B); a \in A\},\$$

where $d(x, B) = \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$.

Let I = [0, 1], we denote by $C(I, \mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of all continuous functions from I to \mathbb{R} with the norm $||x(.)||_C = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t)|$ and $L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is the Banach space of integrable functions $u(.) : I \to \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the norm $||u(.)||_1 = \int_0^1 |u(t)| dt$.

The fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of a Lebesgue integrable function $f: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I^{\alpha}f(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s) ds,$$

provided the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, \infty)$ and Γ is the (Euler's) Gamma function defined by $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$.

The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of a continuous function $f: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$D^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha+n-1} f(s) ds,$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$, provided the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, \infty)$.

As it is pointed out in [1], taking $x(t) = I^{\beta}y(t), t \in I$ with $y(.) \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$, the boundary value problem (1.1) is equivalent with the following problem

$$-D^{\alpha-\beta}y(t) \in F(t, I^{\beta}y(t), -y(t)) \quad a.e. \ ([0,1]), y(0) = y'(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = \int_0^1 y(s) dA(s),$$
 (2.1)

Lemma 2.1. (see [1]) For a given $f(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$, the unique solution x(.) of problem

$$D^{\alpha-\beta}x(t) + f(t) = 0 \quad a.e. \ ([0,T]),$$

$$y(0) = y'(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = \int_0^1 y(s) dA(s),$$

is given by

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds,$$

where G(.,.) is the Green function defined by

$$G(t,s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \begin{cases} [t(1-s)]^{\alpha - \beta - 1}, & \text{if } 0 \le t < s \le 1, \\ [t(1-s)]^{\alpha - \beta - 1} - (t-s)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}, & \text{if } 0 \le s < t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.1 the unique solution of the problem

$$D^{\alpha}x(t) = 0, \quad x(0) = x'(0) = 0 \quad x(1) = 1$$

is $t^{\alpha-\beta-1}$. Let $c = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-\beta-1} dA(t)$ and $G_A(s) = \int_0^1 G(t,s) dA(t)$. It follows (e.g., [7]) that the Green function of the boundary value problem (2.1) is

$$H(t,s) = \frac{t^{\alpha - \beta - 1}}{1 - c} G_A(s) + G(t,s).$$

Hypothesis 2.2. A(.) is an increasing function of bounded variation such that $G_A(s) \ge 0 \ \forall s \in [0, 1]$ and $0 \le c < 1$.

Lemma 2.3. (see [8]) Let $1 < \alpha - \beta \leq 2$ and let Hypothesis 2.2 be satisfied. Then

$$0 \le H(t,s) \le \frac{1}{(1-c)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta-1)} =: M_1.$$

3. The main result

First we recall a selection result ([2]) which is a version of the celebrated Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski selection theorem.

Lemma 3.1. Consider X a separable Banach space, B is the closed unit ball in X, $H: I \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a set-valued map with nonempty closed values and $g: I \to X, L: I \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are measurable functions. If

$$H(t) \cap (g(t) + L(t)B) \neq \emptyset \quad a.e.(I),$$

then the set-valued map $t \to H(t) \cap (g(t) + L(t)B)$ has a measurable selection.

In order to prove our results we need the following hypotheses.

Hypothesis 3.2. i) $F(.,.): I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ has nonempty closed values and is $\mathcal{L}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ measurable.

ii) There exists $L(.) \in L^1(I, (0, \infty))$ such that, for almost all $t \in I$, F(t, ..., .) is L(t)-Lipschitz in the sense that

 $d_H(F(t, x_1, y_1), F(t, x_2, y_2)) \le L(t)(|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|) \ \forall \ x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$

We use next the following notations

$$M(t) := L(t)(1 + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds) = L(t)(1 + \frac{t^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}), \quad t \in I, \quad (3.1)$$

$$M_0 = \int_0^1 M(t) dt.$$
 (3.2)

Theorem 3.3. Assume that Hypothesis 2.2 and Hypothesis 3.2 are satisfied and $M_1M_0 < 1$. Let $y(.) \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ be such that y(0) = y'(0) = 0, $y(1) = \int_0^1 y(s) dA(s)$ and there exists $p(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$ verifying $d(-D^{\alpha-\beta}y(t), F(t, I^{\beta}y(t), -y(t))) \leq p(t)$ a.e. (I).

Then there exists x(.) a solution of problem (2.1) satisfying for all $t \in I$

$$|x(t) - y(t)| \le \frac{M_1}{1 - M_1 M_0} \int_0^1 p(t) dt.$$
(3.3)

Proof. The set-valued map $t \to F(t, I^{\beta}y(t), -y(t))$ is measurable with closed values and

$$F(t, I^{\beta}y(t), -y(t)) \cap \{-D^{\alpha-\beta}y(t) + p(t)[-1, 1]\} \neq \emptyset \quad a.e. (I).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists a measurable selection $f_1(t) \in F(t, I^{\beta}y(t), -y(t))$ a.e. (I) such that

$$|f_1(t) + D^{\alpha - \beta} y(t)| \le p(t) \quad a.e. (I)$$
 (3.4)

Define $x_1(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s) f_1(s) ds$ and one has

$$|x_1(t) - y(t)| \le M_1 \int_0^1 p(t) dt.$$

We claim that it is enough to construct the sequences $x_n(.) \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$, $f_n(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}), n \geq 1$ with the following properties

$$x_n(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s) f_n(s) ds, \quad t \in I,$$
(3.5)

$$f_n(t) \in F(t, I^{\beta} x_{n-1}(t), -x_{n-1}(t)) \quad a.e. (I),$$
(3.6)

$$|f_{n+1}(t) - f_n(t)| \le L(t)(|x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)| + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |x_n(s) - x_{n-1}(s)| ds)$$
(3.7)

for almost all $t \in I$.

If this construction is realized then from (3.4)-(3.7) we have for almost all $t \in I$

$$|x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)| \le M_1 (M_1 M_0)^n \int_0^1 p(t) dt \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, assume that the last inequality is true for n-1 and we prove it for n. One has

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 |H(t, t_1)| \cdot |f_{n+1}(t_1) - f_n(t_1)| dt_1 \leq \\ M_1 \int_0^1 L(t_1)[|x_n(t_1) - x_{n-1}(t_1)| + \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1 - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |x_n(s) - x_{n-1}(s)| ds] dt_1 \leq \\ M_1 \int_0^1 L(t_1)(1 + \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1 - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds) dt_1 \cdot M_1^n M_0^{n-1} \int_0^1 p(t) dt = \\ &= M_1 (M_1 M_0)^n \int_0^1 p(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{x_n(.)\}\$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $C(I, \mathbb{R})$, hence converging uniformly to some $x(.) \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, by (3.7), for almost all $t \in I$, the sequence $\{f_n(t)\}\$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} . Let f(.) be the pointwise limit of $f_n(.)$.

Moreover, one has

$$|x_n(t) - y(t)| \le |x_1(t) - y(t)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_{i+1}(t) - x_i(t)| \le M_1 \int_0^1 p(t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (M_1 \int_0^1 p(t) dt) (M_1 M_0)^i = \frac{M_1 \int_0^1 p(t) dt}{1 - M_1 M_0}.$$
(3.8)

On the other hand, from (3.4), (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain for almost all $t \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(t) + D^{\alpha-\beta}y(t)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |f_{i+1}(t) - f_i(t)| + \\ + |f_1(t) + D^{\alpha-\beta}y(t)| &\leq L(t) \frac{M_1 \int_0^1 p(t)dt}{1 - M_1 M_0} + p(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence $f_n(.)$ is integrably bounded and therefore $f(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and taking the limit in (3.5), (3.6) we deduce that x(.) is a solution of (2.1). Finally, passing to the limit in (3.8) we obtained the desired estimate on x(.).

It remains to construct the sequences $x_n(.), f_n(.)$ with the properties in (3.5)-(3.7). The construction will be done by induction.

Since the first step is already realized, assume that for some $N \geq 1$ we already constructed $x_n(.) \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ and $f_n(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$, n = 1, 2, ...Nsatisfying (3.5), (3.7) for n = 1, 2, ...N and (3.6) for n = 1, 2, ...N - 1. The set-valued map $t \to F(t, I^{\beta} x_N(t), -x_N(t))$ is measurable. Moreover, the map $t \to L(t)(|x_N(t) - x_{N-1}(t)| + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |x_N(s) - x_{N-1}(s)| ds)$ is measurable. By the lipschitzianity of F(t, .) we have that for almost all $t \in I$

$$F(t, I^{\beta}x_N(t), -x_N(t)) \cap \{f_N(t) + L(t)(|x_N(t) - x_{N-1}(t)| + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |x_N(s) - x_{N-1}(s)| ds)[-1, 1]\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Lemma 3.1 yields that there exists a measurable selection $f_{N+1}(.)$ of $F(., I^{\beta}x_N(.), -x_N(.))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{N+1}(t) - f_N(t)| &\leq L(t)(|x_N(t) - x_{N-1}(t)| + \\ \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |x_N(s) - x_{N-1}(s)| ds) \quad a.e. \ (I). \end{aligned}$$

We define $x_{N+1}(.)$ as in (3.5) with n = N + 1. Thus $f_{N+1}(.)$ satisfies (3.6) and (3.7) and the proof is complete.

The assumption in Theorem 3.3 is satisfied, in particular, for y(.) = 0 and therefore with p(.) = L(.). We obtain the following consequence of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. Assume that Hypothesis 2.2 and Hypothesis 3.2 are satisfied, $d(0, F(t, 0, 0) \leq L(t) \text{ a.e. } (I) \text{ and } M_1M_0 < 1.$ Then there exists x(.) a solution of problem (2.1) satisfying for all $t \in I$

$$|x(t)| \le \frac{M_1}{1 - M_1 M_0} \int_0^1 p(t) dt.$$
(3.9)

Remark 3.5. In [1], Theorem 3.5 it is proved that if Hypothesis 2.2 and Hypothesis 3.2 are satisfied, $d(0, F(t, 0, 0) \leq L(t) \text{ a.e. } (I), F(.,.)$ has compact values and $M_1(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}) \int_0^1 L(t) dt < 1$ then problem (2.1) has at least one solution.

Obviously, our Corollary 3.4 improves Theorem 3.5 in [1]. On one hand, we do not require that the values of F(.,.) are compact; on the other hand

$$M_0 = \int_0^1 M(t)dt = \int_0^1 L(t)(1 + \frac{t^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)})dt < (1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)})\int_0^1 L(t)dt.$$

At the same time, the approach in [1] does not provides a priori bounds as in (3.9).

References

- B. AHMAD and S.K. NTOUYAS, Existence results for fractional differential inclusions arising from real estate asset securitization and HIV models, *Adv. Difference Equations*, **2013**, No. 216, 2013.
- [2] J.P. AUBIN and H. FRANKOWSKA, Set-valued Analysis, Birkhauser, Basel, 1990.

- [3] A.F. FILIPPOV, Classical solutions of differential equations with multivalued right hand side, SIAM J. Control, 5 (1967), 609-621.
- [4] A. KILBAS, H.M. SRIVASTAVA and J.J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [5] H. TAO, M. FU and R. QIAN, Positive solutions for fractional differential equations from real estate asset securitization via new fixed point theorem, *Abstract Appl. Anal.*, ID 842358, 2012.
- [6] G. YANG, Nontrivial solution of fractional differential systems involving Riemann-Stieltjes integral conditions, *Abstract Appl. Anal.*, ID 719192, 2012.
- [7] X. ZHANG and L. LIU, Positive solutions of superlinear semipositone singular Dirichlet boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 316 (2006), 525-537.
- [8] Y. WANG, L. LIU and Y. WU, Positive solutions for a nonlocal fractional differential equation, Nonlinear Anal., 74 (2011), 3599-3605.

Aurelian Cernea Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest Academiei 14, 010014 Bucharest, Romania E-mail: acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro