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#### Abstract

Throughout this paper we shall deal with the functional equation in the title, for real $a, b$ and $b \neq 0$. This functional equation was completely solved in a previous paper. Namely we found all continuous solutions of the aforementioned functional equation. In this paper we shall establish bijections between the set of solutions and some special sets of functions.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \circ f(x)+a f(x)+b x=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the signs of $a$ and $b$ are taken according to the conventions of [4].
In the first part of this paper (see [4]) we proved the existence and we presented the general form of the solutions of the aforementioned equation. Namely, the aim of the first part of this paper was to establish what conditions can guarantee the uniqueness of the continuous solution of this functional equation. More precisely, if two solutions coincide on an interval under some conditions, then they coincide everywhere. In the first part we established what conditions must fulfill this interval in each case. Let $\Delta=a^{2}-4 b$ and let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be the solutions of the equation $x^{2}+a x+b=0$. We proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. (see [4, Theorem 2.1]) Let us consider the functional equation (1.1) in case $\Delta>0$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) If $1<r_{1}<r_{2}$ and two continuous solutions coincide on
$I=\left[a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right], I \subset(0, \infty)$ and $\frac{b^{\prime}}{a^{\prime}} \geq r_{2}$, then they coincide on $(0, \infty)$.

A similar result holds if $I \subset(-\infty, 0)$.
If $I=\left[0, a^{\prime}\right]$ the solutions coincide on $(0, \infty)$. A similar result holds if $I=\left[a^{\prime}, 0\right]$.
(ii) If $r_{2}<r_{1}<-1$ and two continuous solutions coincide on
$I=\left[a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right], I \subset(0, \infty)$ and $\frac{b^{\prime}}{a^{\prime}} \geq r_{2}^{2}$ (also if $I \subset(-\infty, 0)$ and $I=\left[a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left.\frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}} \geq r_{2}^{2}\right)$, then they coincide on $\mathbb{R}$.

If $0 \in I$ the two solutions coincide on $\mathbb{R}$.
(iii) If $r_{1}<1<r_{2}$, let us consider two solutions $f$ and $g$ such that $f(0) \neq 0, g(0) \neq 0$ and there exists $a^{\prime}>0$ such that $f$ and $g$ coincide on $\left[0, a^{\prime}\right]$. Then $f$ and $g$ coincide on $\mathbb{R}$. We have a similar result for the case $\left[a^{\prime}, 0\right], a^{\prime}<0$.

The aim of the paper is to prove the existence of several bijections between the set of solutions of equation (1.1) and some special sets of functions. We will consider three cases: $1<r_{1}<r_{2}, r_{2}<r_{1}<-1$ and $0<r_{1}<1<r_{2}$.

## 2. Algebraic properties of the solutions

A. Case $1<r_{1}<r_{2}$.

The set of continuous solutions of equation (1.1) (see [4, Theorem 1.2]) will be denoted in the sequel by $S$. From the Calibration Theorem (see [4]), we have for a solution $f$ of equation (1.1) the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}(x-y) \leq f(x)-f(y) \leq r_{2}(x-y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice that the set of continuous solutions of equation (1.1) on $(0, \infty)$, denoted by $S_{+}$, depends upon three parameters: $x_{0}>0, x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]$ and $f_{0}:\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. Here $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the sequence described in [4, Theorem 1.2], and $f_{0}$ is continuous and bijective which fulfills the inequality $r_{1}(x-y) \leq f_{0}(x)-f_{0}(y) \leq r_{2}(x-y)$ for $x>y$.

Under these assumptions, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that, starting with $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, f_{0}\right)$ under the previous conditions, they uniquely determine the solution on $(0, \infty)$, because two solutions which coincide on $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ coincide on $(0, \infty)$, according to Theorem 1.1.

Notation 2.1. In the sequel we will use the following notation.
(i) $V\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\{f_{0}:\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \mid x_{1} \in\left[r_{1} x_{0}, r_{2} x_{0}\right], x_{2}=a x_{1}-b x_{0}\right.$, $f_{0}$ continuous, bijective and fulfills (2.1) for $\left.x>y, x, y \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right\}$.
(ii) $V=\underset{x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0}, r_{2}\right]}{\bigcup} V\left(x_{1}\right)$.
(iii) $S_{+}=\{f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty) \mid f$ is a continuous solution of equation (1.1) on $(0, \infty)\}$.
(iv) $S_{-}=\{f:(-\infty, 0) \rightarrow(-\infty, 0) \mid f$ is a continuous solution of equation (1.1) on $(0, \infty)\}$.
(v) $B=\{h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1] \mid h(0)=0, h$ is continuous, increasing,

$$
\left.h(1) \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right] ; \frac{h(x)-h(y)}{x-y} \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right] \text { for } x, y \in[0,1], x>y\right\} .
$$

Lemma 2.1. There exists a bijection $H: V \rightarrow S_{+}$.
Proof. Let $f_{0} \in V$. There exists $x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]$ such that $f_{0} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. We define $H\left(f_{0}\right)=f$, where $f$ is the only function that fulfills the conditions $f\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}, f \in S_{+}$and $f(x)=f_{0}(x)$ for all $x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ (see Theorem 1.1). Then it follows that $f$ fulfills (2.1), for all $x>y \geq 0$. Hence $H$ is well defined.
a) $H$ is injective. Let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in S_{+}$be such that $H\left(f_{0}^{1}\right)=f_{1} ; H\left(f_{0}^{2}\right)=f_{2}$ and $f_{1}=f_{2}$. Let $x_{0}>0$ and $x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]$ be such that $f_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $f_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}, f_{0}^{1}=\left.f_{1}\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]} ; f_{0}^{2}=\left.f_{2}\right|_{\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right]}$. Because $\left.f_{1}\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]}=\left.f_{2}\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]}$, it follows that $f_{0}^{1}=f_{0}^{2}$, so $H$ is injective.
b) $H$ is surjective. Let $f \in S_{+}$. We look for $f_{0} \in V$ which fulfills the condition $H\left(f_{0}\right)=f$. Consider $x_{0}>0 ; x_{1}=f\left(x_{0}\right) ; f_{0}=\left.f\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]} ; x_{2}=$ $f\left(x_{1}\right) ; f_{0}:\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. Let us prove that $f_{0} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Because $f \in S$ we have $r_{1} x_{0} \leq f\left(x_{0}\right) \leq r_{2} x_{0}$ (see [4, Lemma 1.1]). So $x_{1} \in\left[r_{1} x_{0}, r_{2} x_{0}\right]$. Since $f\left(f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=a \cdot f\left(x_{0}\right)-b x_{0}$, we have $x_{2}=a x_{1}-b x_{0}$. Because $f$ fulfills (2.1), it follows that $f_{0}$ fulfills (2.1) (this results from the fact that $\left.f_{0}=\left.f\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]}\right)$. Hence $H$ is surjective and it follows that $H$ is bijective.

Theorem 2.1. There exists a bijection $F: B \rightarrow S_{+}$.
Proof. Firstly we shall prove that there exists $T: B \rightarrow V, T$ bijective. Let $x_{0}>0$ and $x_{1} \in\left[r_{1} x_{0}, r_{2} x_{0}\right], x_{2}=a x_{1}-b x_{0}$.

Let $h \in B$. If $x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$, there exists $t \in[0,1]$ such that $t=\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{2}}$ and conversely. We define now $\varphi$ with the aid of $h$ :

$$
\varphi:\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \quad \varphi(x)=\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{h(1)} h\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right)-x_{1} .
$$

We can take $x_{1}=x_{0} \frac{h(1) r_{2}-b}{h(1) r_{2}-a+1}$ (namely, we shall prove that for this $x_{1}$ one has $\left.x_{1} \in\left[r_{1} x_{0}, r_{2} x_{0}\right]\right)$. According to the definition of the set $B$, $h(1) \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$.

Let us consider $g:\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $g(x)=x_{0} \frac{\left(x r_{2}-b\right)}{x r_{2}-a+1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(x)=x_{0} & \frac{\left(r_{2}\left(x r_{2}-a+1\right)-\left(x r_{2}-b\right) r_{2}\right)}{\left(x r_{2}-a+1\right)^{2}}=x_{0} \frac{-a r_{2}+r_{2}+b r_{2}}{\left(x r_{2}-a+1\right)^{2}}= \\
& =x_{0} r_{2} \frac{b-a+1}{\left(x r_{2}-a+1\right)^{2}}=\frac{x_{0} r_{2}\left(r_{1}-1\right)\left(r_{2}-1\right)}{\left(x r_{2}-a+1\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $g$ is increasing, so $g(x) \in\left[g\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right), g(1)\right]$. We have

$$
g\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right)=\frac{x_{0}\left(r_{1}-b\right)}{r_{1}-r_{1}-r_{2}+1}=\frac{x_{0} r_{1}\left(1-r_{2}\right)}{1-r_{2}}=x_{0} r_{1} .
$$

Similarly we can prove that $g(1)=x_{0} r_{2}$. Hence $x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]$.
We define $T: B \rightarrow V$ by $T(h)=\varphi$.
a) We shall prove that $T$ is well defined; more precisely, starting with $h \in B$ and defining $\varphi$ as above, let us prove that $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Obviously $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}$; because $h$ is increasing it follows that $\varphi$ is increasing. Hence $\varphi$ is surjective and increasing, therefore $\varphi$ is bijective.

Let us prove that $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$, for $y>x$. By using the relations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=x_{0}+t_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \\
y=x_{0}+t_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

we deduce that $y-x=\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$. Therefore $y>x$ if and only if $t_{2}>t_{1}$. According to the definition of $x_{1}$ it follows that

$$
x_{1} h(1) r_{2}+x_{1}(-a+1)=x_{0} h(1) r_{2}-x_{0} b .
$$

One easily deduces the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(1)=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{gathered}
h\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{\varphi(x) \cdot h(1)}{x_{2}-x_{1}}-x_{1} \cdot \frac{h(1)}{x_{2}-x_{1}} \text { and } \\
h\left(t_{2}\right)=\frac{\varphi(y) \cdot h(1)}{x_{2}-x_{1}}-x_{1} \cdot \frac{h(1)}{x_{2}-x_{1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}}=\frac{h(1)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)} \cdot\left(\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{y-x}{t_{2}-t_{1}}\right)=
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \cdot \frac{h(1)}{x_{2}-x_{1}} \cdot\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)= \\
=\left(\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{r_{2}} \cdot \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}} \cdot \frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}=r_{2} \cdot\left(\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}}\right) .
$$

Since $\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$, one has $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$ and therefore $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Hence $T$ is well defined. We shall prove that $C=\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$, where

$$
C=\bigcup_{x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]} \bigcup_{\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)}\left\{\left.\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \right\rvert\, y>x, x, y \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right\}
$$

Obviously $C \subset\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$. On the other hand, one has $C_{1} \subset C$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}=\bigcup_{x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]} \bigcup_{\varphi \in W} D\left(x_{1}, \varphi\right) \text { with } \\
D\left(x_{1}, \varphi\right)=\left\{\left.\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \right\rvert\, y>x, x, y \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $W\left(x_{1}\right)=V\left(x_{1}\right) \bigcap\{\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi(x)=p x+q\}$.
We shall prove that $C_{1}=\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$. Let $\alpha \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]$ be arbitrarily taken. We look for $\varphi$ linear having the form $\varphi(x)=p x+q$ such that $p=\alpha$.

Hence $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}, \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}$ if and only if $p x_{0}+q=x_{1}$ and $p x_{1}+q=x_{2}$. Consequently $p\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)=x_{2}-x_{1}$, that is

$$
p=\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}=\frac{a x_{1}-b x_{0}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}=\frac{(a-1) x_{1}-b x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}},
$$

with $x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]$.
We write $g_{1}(x)=\frac{(a-1) x-b x_{0}}{x-x_{0}}, g_{1}:\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{(a-1)\left(x-x_{0}\right)-\left((a-1) x-b x_{0}\right)}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}=\frac{x_{0}(b-a+1)}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}} \geq 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $g_{1}$ is increasing $\left(g_{1} \uparrow\right)$. We have

$$
g_{1}\left(x_{0} r_{1}\right)=\frac{x_{0} r_{1}^{2}-x_{0} r_{1}}{x_{0} r_{1}-x_{0}}=r_{1}
$$

and similarly $g_{1}\left(x_{0} r_{2}\right)=r_{2}$. Because $g_{1}$ is continuous and increasing, it follows that $g_{1}\left(\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]\right)=\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$. Hence, for all $\alpha \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]$ there exist $p$ and $\varphi(x)=p x+q$, such that $p=\alpha$.

Consequently $C_{1}=\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$ and $C=\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$.
b) We shall prove that $T$ is injective. Let $h_{1}, h_{2} \in B, h_{1} \neq h_{2}$. Let us prove that $T\left(h_{1}\right) \neq T\left(h_{2}\right), T\left(h_{1}\right)=\varphi_{1}$ and $T\left(h_{2}\right)=\varphi_{2}$, where $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in V$. There exist $x_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi_{1} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi_{2} \in V\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

1) If $x_{1} \neq x_{1}^{\prime}$ we have $\varphi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime}$ with $x_{1} \neq x_{1}^{\prime}$, hence we have $\varphi_{1} \neq \varphi_{2}$.
2) If $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$ one has $x_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime}$ and so $x_{2}=x_{2}^{\prime}$. On the other hand, $h_{1}(1)=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right)=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{1}^{\prime}}{x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{0}}\right)=h_{2}(1)$. Because $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$, there exists $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that $h_{1}(\alpha) \neq h_{2}(\alpha)$. We choose $x_{\alpha}=x_{0}+\alpha\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$, $x_{\alpha} \in\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{h_{1}(1)} \cdot h_{1}\left(\frac{x_{\alpha}-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right)+x_{1}=\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{h_{1}(1)} \cdot h_{1}(\alpha)+x_{1} .
$$

Similarly

$$
\varphi_{2}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\frac{x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{1}^{\prime}}{h_{2}(1)}\right) \cdot h_{2}(\alpha)+x_{1}^{\prime}
$$

Since $x_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}=x_{2}^{\prime}$ and $h_{1}(\alpha) \neq h_{2}(\alpha)$, it follows that $\varphi_{1}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \neq \varphi_{2}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$. Hence $\varphi_{1} \neq \varphi_{2}$, which implies that $T$ is injective.
c) We shall prove that $T$ is surjective. Let $\varphi \in V$; there exists $x_{1}$ such that $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Let us prove that there exists $h \in B$ such that $T(h)=\varphi$. Because $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$, it follows that $\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}} \in[0,1]$, for all $x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. We denote $t=\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}} ; t \in[0,1]$. Let us define

$$
h(t)=\left(\frac{\varphi\left(x_{0}+t\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\right)-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{r_{2}} \text { for any } t \in[0,1] .
$$

Let us prove that $h \in B$. Obviously $h$ is continuous, $h$ is increasing, $h(0)=0$ and $h(1)=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right)$. Since $x_{2}=a x_{1}-b x_{0}$ one has $h(1)=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{(a-1) x_{1}-b x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right)$. Due to (2.2), if $x_{1} \in\left[r_{1} x_{0}, r_{2} x_{0}\right]$, one has $\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right) \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$, for all $x_{1} \in\left[r_{1} x_{0}, r_{2} x_{0}\right]$. Hence $h(1) \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$. Thus it follows that $h(1)$ is well defined. Let $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq 1$ and write

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=x_{0}+t_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \\
y=x_{0}+t_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Due to (2.3) we have:

$$
\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}=r_{2}\left(\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}}\right) .
$$

Consequently $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$, which implies $\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$ and thus it follows that $h \in B$. Let us prove now that $T(h)=\varphi$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(h)(t)= & \left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{h(1)}\right)\left(\frac{\varphi(x)-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{r_{2}}+x_{1}= \\
& =\left(\frac{\varphi(x)-x_{1}}{h(1)}\right)\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{r_{2}}+x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h(1)=\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) r_{2}}$, it follows that $T(h)(t)=\varphi(x)$. We deduce that $T(h)=\varphi$, which means that $T$ is surjective. In conclusion, $T$ is bijective.

We define $F=H \circ T ; H: V \rightarrow S_{+}, T: B \rightarrow V$ and so $H \circ T: B \rightarrow S_{+}$. Since $H$ and $T$ are bijective, it follows that $F$ is also bijective.

Remark 2.1. In the same way it can be proved that there exists a bijection $F_{2}: B \rightarrow S_{-}$.

Corollary 2.1. There exists a bijection $F: B \times B \rightarrow S$.
Proof. According to Theorem 2.1, there exists $F_{1}: B \rightarrow S_{+}$bijective and there exists $F_{2}: B \rightarrow S_{-}$bijective. We now define $F$ as follows:

$$
F(h)(x)= \begin{cases}F_{1}\left(h_{1}\right)(x), & x \geq 0 \\ F_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)(x), & x<0\end{cases}
$$

where $h \in B \times B, h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$.
a) We shall prove that $F$ is injective. Since $h \neq h^{\prime}$, it follows that $h_{1} \neq h_{1}^{\prime}$ or $h_{2} \neq h_{2}^{\prime}$. We deduce that $F_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) \neq F_{1}\left(h_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ or $F_{2}\left(h_{2}\right) \neq F_{2}\left(h_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, because $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are injective. Hence $F(h) \neq F\left(h^{\prime}\right)$.
b) We shall prove that $F$ is surjective.

Let $f \in S$. Then $f(x)= \begin{cases}f_{1}(x), & x \geq 0 \\ f_{2}(x), & x<0 .\end{cases}$
According to Theorem 2.1, there exists $F_{1}: B \rightarrow S_{+}$which satisfies the relation $F_{1}\left(h_{1}\right)=f_{1}$ and $F_{2}: B \rightarrow S_{-}$which satisfies the relation $F_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)=f_{2}$ (recall that $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are surjective). Then

$$
F(h)(x)= \begin{cases}F_{1}\left(h_{1}\right)(x), & x \geq 0 \\ F_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)(x), & x<0\end{cases}
$$

which shows that $F(h)=f$.
B. Case $r_{2}<r_{1}<-1$.

The set of solutions of equation (1.1) is given by [4, Theorem 1.3]. From the Calibration Theorem, we have for a solution $f$ of equation (1.1) the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
-r_{1}(x-y) \leq f(x)-f(y) \leq-r_{2}(x-y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us observe that the set of solutions on $\mathbb{R}$ depends upon three parameters: $x_{0}>0, x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right], f_{0}:\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]$, where $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the one of [4, Theorem 1.3] and $f_{0}$ is continuous, bijective and satisfies (2.5) for $x, y \in\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]$. From these statements it follows from Theorem 1.1 that starting with $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, f_{0}\right)$ under previous conditions, these parameters uniquely determine the solution on $\mathbb{R}$, because two solutions which coincide on $\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]$ coincide on $\mathbb{R}$, due to Theorem 1.1.

Notation 2.2. In the sequel we will use the following notation.
(i) $V\left(x_{1}\right)$ is the set of functions $f_{0}:\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]$ with $x_{1} \in$ [ $r_{2} x_{0}, r_{1} x_{0}$ ], where $x_{n+2}=-a x_{n+1}-b x_{n}$ for $n=0,1$ and $f_{0}$ is continuous, bijective and satisfies (2.5).
(ii) $V=\underset{x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right]}{\bigcup} V\left(x_{1}\right)$.
(iii) $S=\{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f$ is a solution of equation (1.1) $\}$.
(iv) $B$ has the same meaning like in the case $\mathbf{A}$.

Lemma 2.2. There exists a bijection $H: V \rightarrow S$.
Proof. Let $f_{0} \in V$. There exists $x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right]$, such that $f_{0} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. We define $H\left(f_{0}\right)=f$, where $f$ is the unique function which fulfills the conditions $f\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}, f \in S, f(x)=f_{0}(x)$ for all $x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]$. Then it will follow that $f$ satisfies (2.5), for all $x>y ; x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, so $H$ is well defined.
a) We prove that $H$ is injective.

Indeed, let $f_{0}^{1}, f_{0}^{2} \in S$ be such that $H\left(f_{0}^{1}\right)=H\left(f_{0}^{2}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Hence $H\left(f_{0}^{1}\right)=f_{1} ; H\left(f_{0}^{2}\right)=f_{2}$. Let $x_{0}>0, x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right], x_{2}=-a x_{1}-b x_{0}$. Then $f_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=f_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1} ; f_{0}^{1}=\left.f_{1}\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]}, f_{0}^{2}=\left.f_{2}\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]}$. Since $\left.f_{1}\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]}=$ $\left.f_{2}\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]}$, we have $f_{0}^{1}=f_{0}^{2}$ and it follows that $H$ is injective.
b) We prove that $H$ is surjective.

Indeed, let $f \in S$. We look for $f_{0} \in V$ with $H\left(f_{0}\right)=f$. Let $x_{0}>0$. Write $x_{1}=f\left(x_{0}\right) ; x_{2}=f\left(x_{1}\right) ; x_{3}=f\left(x_{2}\right)$. Define $f_{0}:\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]$, given via $f_{0}(x)=f(x)$. Let us prove that it holds $f_{0} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Since $f \in S$, it follows that $r_{2} x_{0} \leq f\left(x_{0}\right) \leq r_{1} x_{0}$ (see [4, Lemma 1.2]), so $x_{1} \in\left[r_{2} x_{0}, r_{1} x_{0}\right]$. Because $f\left(f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=-a \cdot f\left(x_{0}\right)-b x_{0}$, we have $x_{2}=-a x_{1}-b x_{0}$ and because $f\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=-a \cdot f\left(x_{1}\right)-b x_{1}$, we have $x_{3}=-a x_{2}-b x_{1}$. Since $f$ satisfies (2.5) and $f_{0}=\left.f\right|_{\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]}$, it follows that $f_{0}$ satisfies (2.5). Consequently $H$ is surjective, therefore it is bijective.

Theorem 2.2. There exists a bijection $F: B \rightarrow S$.
Proof. Firstly we shall prove that there exists a bijection $T: B \rightarrow V$, $T$. Let $x_{0}>0$ and $x_{1} \in\left[r_{2} x_{0}, r_{1} x_{0}\right]$. Consequently $x_{2}, x_{3}$ are given by the relations $x_{2}+a x_{1}+b x_{0}=0 ; x_{3}+a x_{2}+b x_{1}=0$.

Let $h \in B$. We now try to define $\varphi:\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]$. We notice that $x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]$ if and only if $\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{2}-x_{0}} \in[0,1]$. Therefore there exists $t \in[0,1]$ such that $t=\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{2}-x_{0}}$. Hence $t \in[0,1]$ if and only if $x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]$. We can define now $\varphi$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi:\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right], \varphi(x)=\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{h(1)} h\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{2}-x_{0}}\right)+x_{1} \\
\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1} ; \quad \varphi\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $x_{3}-x_{1}<0$ and $h$ is increasing, it follows that $\varphi \downarrow$.
We can take $x_{1}=\frac{x_{0}\left(r_{2} h(1)(1+b)+a b\right)}{1-a^{2}+b-a r_{2} h(1)}$ (namely, we shall prove that for this $x_{1}$ one has $x_{1} \in\left[r_{2} x_{0}, r_{1} x_{0}\right]$ ).

According to the definition of the set $B$, it holds $h(1) \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$.
We now consider $g:\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $g(x)=\frac{x_{0}\left(r_{2}(1+b) x+a b\right)}{-a r_{2} x+1-a^{2}+b}$. Taking into account that $\frac{1-a^{2}+b}{a r_{2}}>1$, it will follow that $g$ is well defined. It is well known that the function $x \mapsto \frac{m x+n}{p x+q}$ is strictly decreasing if and only if $\left|\begin{array}{cc}m & n \\ p & q\end{array}\right|<0$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(1+b) r_{2} & a b \\
-a r_{2} & 1-a^{2}+b
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
r_{2}+r_{1} r_{2}^{2} & -r_{1}^{2} r_{2}-r_{2}^{2} r_{1} \\
r_{2}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2} & 1-r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}-r_{1} r_{2}
\end{array}\right|= \\
=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
r_{2}+r_{1} r_{2}^{2} & r_{2}-r_{1}^{2} r_{2} \\
r_{2}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2} & 1-r_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right|=r_{2}\left(1-r_{1}^{2}\right) \cdot\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1+r_{1} r_{2} & r_{2} \\
r_{1}+r_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right|= \\
=r_{2}\left(1-r_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-r_{2}^{2}\right)<0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore it follows that $g$ is decreasing. Then, for $x \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$, it will follow that $g(x) \in\left[g\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right), g(1)\right]$. But $g\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right)=x_{0} r_{1}$ and $g(1)=x_{0} r_{2}$, so $x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right]$.

Let us define $T(h)=\varphi ; T: B \rightarrow V$.
a) We shall prove that $T$ is well defined. More precisely, starting with $h \in B$ and defining $\varphi$ as previously, let us show that $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Obviously $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{3}$. Since $h$ is increasing it follows that $\varphi$ is decreasing. Therefore $\varphi$ is surjective and decreasing, i.e. is bijective.

Let us prove that $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{x-y} \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$, for $x>y$. By the equalities

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=x_{0}+t_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right) \\
y=x_{0}+t_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

we get $x-y=\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)$. Hence $x>y$ if and only if $t_{1}>t_{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{x-y}=\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{h(1)} \cdot\left(\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{t_{1}-t_{2}}{x-y}\right)= \\
=\frac{x_{1}-x_{3}}{h(1)} \cdot\left(\frac{h\left(t_{1}\right)-h\left(t_{2}\right)}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{0}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to the definition of $x_{1}$, it follows that

$$
x_{0} r_{2} h(1)(1+b)+a b x_{0}=x_{1}\left(1-a^{2}+b\right)-a x_{1} r_{2} h(1) .
$$

We deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(1)=\frac{x_{1}\left(1-a^{2}+b\right)-a b x_{0}}{r_{2}\left(x_{0}(1+b)+a x_{1}\right)}=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{3}}{x_{0}-x_{2}}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{x-y}=-\left(\frac{h\left(t_{1}\right)-h\left(t_{2}\right)}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\right) \cdot r_{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{h\left(t_{1}\right)-h\left(t_{2}\right)}{t_{1}-t_{2}} \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$, it follows that $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{x-y} \in\left[-r_{1},-r_{2}\right]$. Hence $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$ and then it follows that $T$ is well defined.

We shall prove that if

$$
C=\bigcup_{x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right]} \bigcup_{\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)}\left\{\left.\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \right\rvert\, y>x ; x, y \in\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]\right\},
$$

then $C=\left[r_{2}, r_{1}\right]$. It obviously holds $C \subset\left[r_{2}, r_{1}\right]$. On the other hand, one has $C_{1} \subset C$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} & =\bigcup_{x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right]} \bigcup_{\varphi \in W\left(x_{1}\right)} D\left(x_{1}, \varphi\right) \text { with } \\
D\left(x_{1}, \varphi\right) & =\left\{\left.\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \right\rvert\, y>x, x, y \in\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]\right\} \text { and } \\
W\left(x_{1}\right) & =V\left(x_{1}\right) \bigcap\{\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi(x)=p x+q\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall prove that $C_{1}=\left[r_{2}, r_{1}\right]$. Let $\alpha \in\left[r_{2} x_{0}, r_{1} x_{0}\right]$. We look for $\varphi$ linear $(\varphi(x)=p x+q)$ such that $p=\alpha$. So $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}, \varphi\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{3}$, that is
$p x_{0}+q=x_{1}, p x_{2}+q=x_{3}$. These equalities imply that $p\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)=x_{3}-x_{1}$. The latter relation is equivalent to
$p=\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{0}}=\frac{x_{1}-x_{3}}{x_{0}-x_{2}}=\frac{x_{1}-x_{1}\left(a^{2}-b\right)-a b x_{0}}{x_{0}+a x_{1}+b x_{0}}=\frac{x_{1}\left(1-a^{2}+b\right)-a b x_{0}}{a x_{1}+(b+1) x_{0}}$, $x_{1} \in\left[r_{2} x_{0}, r_{1} x_{0}\right]$.

We consider $g_{1}:\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $g_{1}(x)=\frac{\left(1-a^{2}+b\right) x-a b x_{0}}{a x+(b+1) x_{0}}$. Obviously $-\left(\frac{b+1}{a}\right)=\frac{r_{1} r_{2}+1}{r_{1}+r_{2}}>r_{1}$. Hence $g_{1}$ is well defined. We now establish the monotony of $g_{1}$. One has

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1-a^{2}+b & -a b \\
a & b+1
\end{array}\right|=(b+1)^{2}-a^{2}= \\
=(a+b+1)(b+1-a)=\left(r_{1}^{2}-1\right)\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)>0,
\end{gathered}
$$

and it follows $g_{1}$ increasing. It is clear that $g_{1}\left(x_{0} r_{1}\right)=\frac{x_{0}\left(r_{1}^{3}-r_{1}\right)}{x_{0}\left(r_{1}^{2}-1\right)}=r_{1}$ and $g_{1}\left(x_{0} r_{2}\right)=\frac{x_{0}\left(r_{2}^{3}-r_{2}\right)}{x_{0}\left(r_{2}^{2}-1\right)}=r_{2}$. Since $g_{1}$ is continuous and increasing, it follows that $g_{1}\left(\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right]\right)=\left[r_{2}, r_{1}\right]$. Consequently, for all $\alpha \in\left[x_{0} r_{2}, x_{0} r_{1}\right]$ there exists $\varphi$ linear $(\varphi(x)=p x+q)$ such that $p=\alpha$. Hence $C_{1}=\left[r_{2}, r_{1}\right]$ and it follows that $C=\left[r_{2}, r_{1}\right]$.
b) We shall prove that $T$ is injective.

Let $h_{1}, h_{2} \in B$ with $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$. Let us prove that $T\left(h_{1}\right) \neq T\left(h_{2}\right)$. Next, we will denote by $\varphi_{1}$ the function $T\left(h_{1}\right)$ and by $\varphi_{2}$ the function $T\left(h_{2}\right)$, and $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in V$. There exist $x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi_{1} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi_{2} \in V\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

1) If $x_{1} \neq x_{1}^{\prime}$, then $\varphi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime}$, with $x_{1} \neq x_{1}^{\prime}$, so $\varphi_{1} \neq \varphi_{2}$.
2) If $x_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime}$ hence $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$, we have $x_{2}=x_{2}^{\prime}$ with obvious notations. But $h_{1}(1)=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{3}}{x_{0}-x_{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{3}^{\prime}}{x_{0}-x_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=h_{2}(1)$. Since $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$, there exists $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that $h_{1}(\alpha) \neq h_{2}(\alpha)$. We choose $x_{\alpha}=x_{0}+\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right) ; x_{\alpha} \in\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$.
We shall prove that $\varphi_{1}(\alpha) \neq \varphi_{2}(\alpha)$. One has

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{h_{1}(1)} \cdot h_{1}\left(\frac{x_{\alpha}-x_{0}}{x_{2}-x_{0}}\right)+x_{1}=\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{h_{1}(1)} \cdot h_{1}(\alpha)+x_{1} .
$$

In the same way

$$
\varphi_{2}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=\frac{x_{3}^{\prime}-x_{1}^{\prime}}{h_{2}(1)} \cdot h_{2}(\alpha)+x_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

Since $x_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{3}=x_{3}^{\prime}$ and $h_{1}(\alpha) \neq h_{2}(\alpha)$, it follows that $\varphi_{1}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \neq \varphi_{2}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$. Consequently $\varphi_{1} \neq \varphi_{2}$ and it follows that T is injective.
c) We shall show that $T$ is surjective. Let $\varphi \in V$; there exists $x_{1}$ such that $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Let us prove that there exists $h \in B$ with $T(h)=\varphi$. Since $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$, it follows that $\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{2}-x_{0}} \in[0,1]$ for $x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. We can write $t=\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{2}-x_{0}} \in[0,1]$.

We define $h(t)=\frac{\varphi(x)-x_{1}}{x_{3}-x_{1}} \cdot \frac{x_{1}-x_{3}}{r_{2}\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)}$. Hence $h(t)=\frac{\varphi(x)-x_{1}}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right) r_{2}}$.

1) Let us prove that $h \in B$. Obviously $h$ is continuous and increasing. One has $h(0)=0$ and $h(1)=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{3}}{x_{0}-x_{2}}\right)$ (see (2.6)). According to (2.7), if $x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} r_{1}, x_{0} r_{2}\right]$, it follows that $h(1) \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$. Consequently $h$ is well defined in 1.

Let $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq 1$, and write

$$
x=x_{0}+t_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right), \quad y=x_{0}+t_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right) .
$$

One has the equality $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}=r_{2}\left(\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}}\right)$ (see (2.7)). Hence $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \in\left[r_{2}, r_{1}\right]$ if and only if $\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$ and $h \in B$.
2) Let us prove that $T(h)=\varphi$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(h)(x)=\left(\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{h(1)}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\varphi(x)-x_{1}}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right) r_{2}}\right)+x_{1}= \\
& =\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{3}}{x_{0}-x_{2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{r_{2}}} \cdot \frac{\varphi(x)-x_{1}}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right) \cdot r_{2}}+x_{1}=\varphi(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently $T(h)=\varphi$ and $T$ is bijective.
We define $F=H \circ T, H: V \rightarrow S$ and $T: B \rightarrow V$, so $H \circ T: B \rightarrow S$. Since $H$ and $T$ are bijective, it follows that $F$ is also bijective.
C. Case $0<r_{1}<1<r_{2}$.

The set of solutions is given by [4, Theorem 1.4]. Let us observe that the set of solutions on $\mathbb{R}$, for which $f(x)>x$, depends upon two parameters: $x_{1}>0$ and the function $f_{0}:\left[0, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$, where $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is that one of [4, Theorem 1.4] and $f_{0}$ is continuous bijective and verifies the relation (2.1). Under these conditions it follows from Theorem 1.1 that, starting with ( $x_{1}, f_{0}$ ) under previous conditions, these parameters determine uniquely the solution on $\mathbb{R}$, which additionally fulfills the condition $f(0)=x_{1}$ (because
two solutions $f$ and $g$ with $f(0)=g(0)=x_{1}$ which coincide on $\left[0, x_{1}\right]$, coincide on $\mathbb{R}$ according to Theorem 1.1).

Notation 2.3. In the sequel we will use the following notation.
(i) $V\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\{f_{0}:\left[0, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, a x_{1}\right] f_{0}\right.$ is continuous, bijective, increasing, $f_{0}$ satisfies (2.1) $\}$.
(ii) $V=\bigcup_{x_{1} \in(0, \infty)} V\left(x_{1}\right)$.
(iii) $S_{+}=\{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f$ is (strictly) increasing and is solution
of equation (1.1), $f(x)>x\}$.
(iv) $B=\{h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1], h(0)=0, h$ is continuous, increasing,

$$
\left.h(1)=\frac{r_{1}+r_{2}-1}{r_{2}} ; \frac{h(x)-h(y)}{x-y} \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right] ; x>y ; x, y \in[0,1]\right\} .
$$

Lemma 2.3. There exists a bijection $H: V \rightarrow S_{+}$.
Proof. Let $f_{0} \in V$. There exists $x_{1}>0$ such that $f_{0} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. We define $H\left(f_{0}\right)=f$, where $f$ is the unique function which fulfills the conditions $f\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}, f \in S_{+}$and $f(x)=f_{0}(x)$ for all $x \in\left[0, x_{1}\right]$. Then it follows that $f$ satisfies (2.1), for all $x>y \geq 0$. Hence $H$ is well defined.
a) We shall prove that $H$ is injective. Let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in S_{+}$with $H\left(f_{0}^{1}\right)=f_{1}$; $H\left(f_{0}^{2}\right)=f_{2}$ and $f_{1}=f_{2}$. Let $x_{1}>0$, such that $f_{1}(0)=f_{2}(0)=x_{1}$, $f_{0}^{1}=\left.f_{1}\right|_{\left[0, x_{1}\right]} ; f_{0}^{2}=\left.f_{2}\right|_{\left[0, x_{1}\right]}$. Since $\left.f_{1}\right|_{\left[0, x_{1}\right]}=\left.f_{2}\right|_{\left[0, x_{1}\right]}$ it follows that $f_{0}^{1}=f_{0}^{2}$, so $H$ is injective.
b) We shall prove that $H$ is surjective. Let $f \in S_{+}$. We look for $f_{0} \in V$ with $H\left(f_{0}\right)=f$. Take $x_{1}=f(0) ; f_{0}=\left.f\right|_{\left[0, x_{1}\right]} ; x_{2}=f \circ f(0)$; then one has $f_{0}:\left[0, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. We shall prove that $f_{0} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Since $f \in S_{+}$, it follows that $x_{1}>0$. The equation $f(f(0))=a \cdot f(0)-b \cdot 0$ implies $x_{2}=a x_{1}$. Since $f$ verifies (2.1), $f_{0}$ verifies (2.1), because $f_{0}=\left.f\right|_{\left[0, x_{1}\right]}$. Hence $H$ is surjective and then it follows that $H$ is bijective.

Theorem 2.3. There exists a bijection $F: B \rightarrow S_{+}$.
Proof. Firstly we shall prove that there exists $T: B \rightarrow V, T$ bijective. Consider $x_{1}>0$ and put $x_{2}=a x_{1}$.

Let $h \in B$. If $x \in\left[0, x_{1}\right]$, then there exists $t \in[0,1]$ such that $t=\frac{x}{x_{1}}$. Consequently $t \in[0,1]$ if and only if $x \in\left[0, x_{1}\right]$. We now define $\varphi$ by

$$
\varphi:\left[0, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, a x_{1}\right], \quad \varphi(x)=x_{1} r_{2} h\left(\frac{x}{x_{1}}\right)+x_{1}
$$

For $x=x_{1}$ it holds

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1} r_{2} h(1)+x_{1}=x_{1} r_{2} \cdot \frac{(a-1)}{r_{2}}+x_{1}=a x_{1}=x_{2} .
$$

Hence $\varphi(x) \in\left[x_{1}, a x_{1}\right]$, because $\varphi$ is increasing.
We define $T: B \rightarrow V$ by $T(h)=\varphi$.
a) We shall prove that $T$ is well defined. More precisely, starting with $h \in B$ and defining $\varphi$ as above, let us prove that $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$.

Obviously $\varphi(0)=x_{1}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=a x_{1}$. Since $\varphi$ is increasing, continuous, $\varphi(0)=x_{1}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=a x_{1}$, it follows that $\varphi$ is bijective.

Let us prove that $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$. For $x_{1}>y>x>0$ put $x=t_{1} x_{1}, y=t_{2} x_{1}$, where $t_{1}, t_{2}$ are in $[0,1]$. One has $y-x=\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) x_{1}$ and $y>x$ if and only if $t_{2}>t_{1}$. Then we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi(y)=x_{1} r_{2} h\left(t_{2}\right)+x_{1} \\
\varphi(x)=x_{1} r_{2} h\left(t_{1}\right)+x_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and it follows $\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)=x_{1} r_{2}\left(h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)\right)$. We deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} & =x_{1} r_{2} \cdot \frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \cdot\left(\frac{t_{2}-t_{1}}{y-x}\right)= \\
& =\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \cdot x_{1} r_{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}=r_{2} \cdot\left(\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$, we have $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$. Hence $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Then it follows that $T$ is well defined.

We shall prove that if

$$
C=\bigcup_{x_{1} \in[0, \infty]} \bigcup_{\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)}\left\{\left.\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \right\rvert\, y>x ; x, y \in\left[0, x_{1}\right]\right\},
$$

then $C=\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$. We have $C \subset\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$ and it remains to prove the inclusion $\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right] \subset C$. We look for functions $\varphi:\left[0, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, a x_{1}\right]\left(a=r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$ of the form

$$
\varphi(x)= \begin{cases}r x+c & x \in\left[0, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\ r^{\prime} x+c^{\prime} & x \in\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{1}\right],\end{cases}
$$

where $r \neq r^{\prime}$ and we study what values can take the ratio $\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{x-y}$, where $0 \leq y<x \leq x^{\prime}$, in order to have $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Consequently the following necessary conditions are compulsory: $\varphi(0)=x_{1}, \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=a x_{1}$, $r x_{1}^{\prime}+c=r^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}+c^{\prime}$ and $r_{1} \leq r, r^{\prime} \leq r_{2}$. Consequently

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ c = x _ { 1 } } \\
{ r ^ { \prime } x _ { 1 } + c ^ { \prime } = a x _ { 1 } } \\
{ r x _ { 1 } ^ { \prime } + c = r ^ { \prime } x _ { 1 } ^ { \prime } + c , ^ { \prime } }
\end{array} \quad \text { which implies } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
c=x_{1} \\
c^{\prime}=\left(a-r^{\prime}\right) x_{1} \\
r x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{1}=r^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}+\left(a-r^{\prime}\right) x_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

It follows that

$$
x_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{x_{1}\left(1-a+r^{\prime}\right)}{r^{\prime}-r} .
$$

Hence one has

$$
0<x_{1}^{\prime}<x_{1} \text { if and only if } \frac{1-a+r^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}-r}>0
$$

and

$$
\frac{x_{1}\left(1-a+r^{\prime}\right)}{r^{\prime}-r}<x_{1} \text { if and only if } \frac{1-a+r^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}-r}<0
$$

If $r^{\prime}>r$, then $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1-a+r<0 \\ 1-a+r^{\prime}>0\end{array}\right.$, so $\left\{\begin{array}{l}r<a-1 \\ r^{\prime}>a-1 .\end{array}\right.$ Then $r \in\left[r_{1}, a-1\right)$ and $r^{\prime} \in\left(a-1, r_{2}\right]$. Hence if $r<r^{\prime}$, then $r$ can take any value from the interval $\left[r_{1}, a-1\right)$.

If $r^{\prime}<r$, then $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1-a+r>0 \\ 1-a+r^{\prime}<0\end{array}\right.$, so $\left\{\begin{array}{l}r>a-1 \\ r^{\prime}<a-1 .\end{array}\right.$ Then $r \in\left(a-1, r_{2}\right]$ and $r^{\prime} \in\left[r_{1}, a-1\right)$. Therefore if $r>r^{\prime}$, then $r$ can take any value from the interval $\left(a-1, r_{2}\right.$ ].

Our next objective is to prove that $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. We observe that it is enough to prove that

$$
0 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq x_{1} \text { implies that } r_{1}(\beta-\alpha) \leq \varphi(\beta)-\varphi(\alpha) \leq r_{2}(\beta-\alpha)
$$

If $\alpha, \beta \in\left[0, x_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ or $\alpha, \beta \in\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{1}\right]$ it is obvious that

$$
r_{1}(\beta-\alpha) \leq \varphi(\beta)-\varphi(\alpha) \leq r_{2}(\beta-\alpha)
$$

according to the previous considerations.
Let us suppose that $\alpha<x_{1}^{\prime}<\beta$. We shall prove that the inequality $\varphi(\beta)-\varphi(\alpha) \leq r_{2}(\beta-\alpha)$ holds. Indeed, one has

$$
\varphi(\alpha)=r \alpha+c=r \alpha+x_{1}, \varphi(\beta)=r^{\prime} \beta+c^{\prime}=r^{\prime} \beta+\left(a-r^{\prime}\right) x_{1}
$$

deducing that $\varphi(\beta)-\varphi(\alpha) \leq r_{2}(\beta-\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
r^{\prime} \beta+a x_{1}-r^{\prime} x_{1}-r \alpha-x_{1} \leq r_{2} \beta-r_{2} \alpha .
$$

The latter inequality is equivalent to

$$
\beta>\alpha \frac{r_{2}-r}{r_{2}-r^{\prime}}+\frac{x_{1}\left(a-r^{\prime}-1\right)}{r_{2}-r^{\prime}} .
$$

Since $\beta>x_{1}^{\prime}$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$
x_{1}^{\prime}>\alpha\left(\frac{r_{2}-r}{r_{2}-r^{\prime}}\right)+x_{1}\left(\frac{a-r^{\prime}-1}{r_{2}-r^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

By replacing $x_{1}^{\prime}$, we get the inequality

$$
x_{1}\left(\frac{1-a+r^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}-r}\right)>\alpha\left(\frac{r_{2}-r}{r_{2}-r^{\prime}}\right)+x_{1}\left(\frac{a-r^{\prime}-1}{r_{2}-r^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

By direct computation one shows that this is equivalent to

$$
x_{1}\left(1-a+r^{\prime}\right) \frac{r_{2}-r}{\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)\left(r_{2}-r^{\prime}\right)}>\alpha \frac{r_{2}-r}{r_{2}-r^{\prime}} .
$$

The latter inequality is equivalent to

$$
\alpha<x_{1}\left(\frac{1-a+r^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}-r}\right),
$$

that is $\alpha<x_{1}^{\prime}$. We already know that this is true, so $\varphi(\beta)-\varphi(\alpha) \leq r_{2}(\beta-\alpha)$.
We prove now that $\varphi(\beta)-\varphi(\alpha) \geq r_{1}(\beta-\alpha)$, that is

$$
r^{\prime} \beta+a x_{1}-r^{\prime} x_{1}-r \alpha-x_{1} \geq r_{1} \beta-r_{1} \alpha .
$$

This relation is equivalent to

$$
\beta>\alpha\left(\frac{r-r_{1}}{r^{\prime}-r_{1}}\right)+x_{1}\left(\frac{r^{\prime}-a+1}{r^{\prime}-r_{1}}\right) .
$$

Since $\beta>x_{1}$, it is enough to prove that

$$
x_{1}^{\prime}>\alpha\left(\frac{r-r_{1}}{r^{\prime}-r_{1}}\right)+x_{1}\left(\frac{r^{\prime}-a+1}{r^{\prime}-r_{1}}\right) .
$$

By replacing $x_{1}^{\prime}$, we get the equivalent inequality

$$
x_{1}\left(\frac{1-a+r^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}-r}\right)>\alpha\left(\frac{r-r_{1}}{r^{\prime}-r_{1}}\right)+x_{1}\left(\frac{r^{\prime}-a+1}{r^{\prime}-r_{1}}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that this relation is equivalent to

$$
x_{1}\left(1-a+r^{\prime}\right) \frac{r-r_{1}}{\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)\left(r^{\prime}-r_{1}\right)}>\alpha\left(\frac{r-r_{1}}{r^{\prime}-r_{1}}\right),
$$

that is $\alpha<x_{1}^{\prime}$. From our hypothesis we know that this inequality is true. Hence

$$
\varphi(\beta)-\varphi(\alpha) \geq r_{1}(\beta-\alpha)
$$

Thus we have $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$.
We have proved also that: $\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]-\{a-1\} \subset C$.
Obviously, choosing $\varphi(x)=(a-1) x+x_{1}$, we have

$$
\varphi(0)=x_{1}, \quad \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=a x_{1} \quad \text { and } \frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}=a-1 .
$$

Since $a-1 \in\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$, it follows that $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Hence $\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right] \subset C$. Then it follows that $C=\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$.
b) Let us prove that $T$ is injective. Let $h_{1}, h_{2} \in B$ with $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$. We shall prove that $T\left(h_{1}\right) \neq T\left(h_{2}\right)$. Put $T\left(h_{1}\right)=\varphi_{1}$ and $T\left(h_{2}\right)=\varphi_{2}$, where $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in V$. There exist $x_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi_{1} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi_{2} \in V\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. If $x_{1} \neq x_{1}^{\prime}$ we have $\varphi_{1}(0)=x_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}(0)=x_{1}^{\prime}$, so $\varphi_{1} \neq \varphi_{2}$. If $x_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime}$, hence $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$ we have $x_{2}=x_{2}^{\prime}=a x_{1}$. Since $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$, there exists $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that $h_{1}(\alpha) \neq h_{2}(\alpha)$. We choose $x_{\alpha}=x_{1} \cdot \alpha$, hence $x_{\alpha} \in\left(0, x_{1}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=x_{1} r_{2} h_{1}(\alpha)+x_{1}, \\
& \varphi_{2}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime} r_{2} h_{2}(\alpha)+x_{1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime}$ and $h_{1}(\alpha) \neq h_{2}(\alpha)$, we deduce that $\varphi_{1}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \neq \varphi_{2}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$. Consequently $\varphi_{1} \neq \varphi_{2}$ and we deduce that $T$ is injective.
c) Let us prove that $T$ is surjective. Let $\varphi \in V$; there exists $x_{1}$ such that $\varphi \in V\left(x_{1}\right)$. Let us prove that there exists $h \in B$ such that $T(h)=\varphi$. For any $x \in\left[0, x_{1}\right]$, write $t=\frac{x}{x_{1}} \in[0,1]$. We define $h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ via

$$
h(t)=\frac{\varphi\left(t x_{1}\right)-x_{1}}{x_{1} r_{2}} .
$$

1) Let us prove that $h \in B$. Obviously $h$ is continuous and increasing. One has $h(0)=0, h(1)=\frac{\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1}}{x_{1} r_{2}}=\frac{a-1}{r_{2}}$. Hence $h$ is well defined at $t=1$. Let $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq 1$, and write $x=t_{1} x_{1}, y=t_{2} x_{1}$. According to relation (2.8) we have:

$$
\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x}=r_{2}\left(\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}}\right) .
$$

Hence $\frac{\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)}{y-x} \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]$ if and only if $\frac{h\left(t_{2}\right)-h\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \in\left[\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}, 1\right]$ and this implies that $h \in B$.
2) Let us prove that $T(h)=\varphi$. One has

$$
T(h)(x)=x_{1} r_{2}\left(\frac{\varphi(x)-x_{1}}{r_{2} x_{1}}\right)+x_{1}=\varphi(x) .
$$

Consequently it follows that $T(h)=\varphi$. Thus it follows that $T$ is surjective and finally that $T$ is bijective.

We define $F=H \circ T, H: V \rightarrow S_{+}$and $T: B \rightarrow V$, so $H \circ T: B \rightarrow S_{+}$. Since $H$ and $T$ are bijective, it follows that $F$ is also bijective.
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