On the solution set of a boundary value problem for a first order multivalued differential system

Aurelian Cernea

Communicated by Viorel Iftimie

Abstract - We consider a boundary value problem associated to a first order nonconvex nonclosed differential inclusion and we prove the arcwise connectedness of its solution set.

Key words and phrases : set-valued contraction, fixed point, arcwise connectedness.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) **:** 34A60.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the differential inclusion

$$x' \in A(t)x + F(t, x, H(t, x)), \quad a.e. \ (I) \tag{1.1}$$

with boundary conditions of the form

$$Mx(0) + Nx(1) = \eta, \tag{1.2}$$

where $I = [0, 1]$, $F(.,.,.) : I \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $H(.,.) : I \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are set-valued maps, $A(.)$ is a continuous $(n \times n)$ matrix function, M and N are $(n \times n)$ constant real matrices and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

When F does not depend on the last variable (1.1) reduces to

$$x' \in A(t)x + F(t, x), \quad a.e. \ (I). \tag{1.3}$$

Existence of solutions of problem (1.3)-(1.2) may be found in [1,6] etc. In all these papers the set-valued map F is assumed to be at least closed-valued. Such an assumption is quite natural in order to obtain good properties of the solution set, but it is interesting to investigate the problem when the right-hand side of the multivalued equation may have nonclosed values.

Following the approach in [8] we consider the problem (1.1)-(1.2), where F and H are closed-valued multifunctions Lipschitzian with respect to the second variable and F is contractive in the third variable. Obviously, the right-hand side of the differential inclusion in (1.1) is in general neither convex nor closed. We prove the arcwise connectedness of the solution set

to (1.1)-(1.2). The main tool is a result (see [7]) concerning the arcwise connectedness of the fixed point set of a class of nonconvex nonclosed set-valued contractions. We note that this idea was already used for similar results for other classes of differential inclusions (see [2,3,4,5]).

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some preliminary results that we use in the sequel and in Section 3 we prove our main result.

2. Preliminaries

Let Z be a metric space with the distance d_Z and let 2^Z be the family of all nonempty closed subsets of Z. For $a \in Z$ and $A, B \in 2^Z$ set $d_Z(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d_Z(a, b)$ and $d_Z^*(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} d_Z(a, B)$. Denote by D_Z the Pompeiu-Hausdorff generalized metric on 2^Z defined by

$$D_Z(A, B) = \max\{d_Z^*(A, B), d_Z^*(B, A)\}, \quad A, B \in 2^Z.$$

In what follows, when the product $Z = Z_1 \times Z_2$ of metric spaces $Z_i, i = 1, 2$, is considered, it is assumed that Z is equipped with the distance $d_Z((z_1, z_2), (z_1', z_2')) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} d_{Z_i}(z_i, z_i')$.

Let X be a nonempty set and let $F : X \to 2^Z$ be a set-valued map from X to Z. The range of F is the set $F(X) = \cup_{x \in X} F(x)$. Let $(X, \mathcal{F})$ be a measurable space. The multifunction $F : X \to 2^Z$ is called measurable if $F^{-1}(\Omega) \in \mathcal{F}$ for any open set $\Omega \subset Z$, where $F^{-1}(\Omega) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\}$. Let (X, d_X) be a metric space. The multifunction F is called Hausdorff continuous if for any $x_0 \in X$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x \in X, d_X(x, x_0) < \delta$ implies $D_Z(F(x), F(x_0)) < \epsilon$.

Let $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a finite, positive, nonatomic measure space and let $(X, |.|_X)$ be a Banach space. We denote by $L^1(T, X)$ the Banach space of all (equivalence classes of) Bochner integrable functions $u : T \to X$ endowed with the norm

$$|u|_{L^1(T,X)} = \int_T |u(t)|_X d\mu.$$

A nonempty set $K \subset L^1(T, X)$ is called decomposable if, for every $u, v \in K$ and every $A \in \mathcal{F}$, one has

$$\chi_A.u + \chi_{T \setminus A}.v \in K,$$

where $\chi_B, B \in \mathcal{F}$ indicates the characteristic function of B.

A metric space Z is called an absolute retract if, for any metric space X and any nonempty closed set $X_0 \subset X$, every continuous function $g : X_0 \to Z$ has a continuous extension $g : X \to Z$ over X. It is obvious that every continuous image of an absolute retract is an arcwise connected space.

In what follows we recall some preliminary results that are the main tools in the proof of our result.

Let $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a finite, positive, nonatomic measure space, S a separable Banach space and let $(X, |.|_X)$ be a real Banach space. To simplify the notation we write E in place of $L^1(T, X)$.

Lemma 2.1. (see [8]) *Assume that $\phi : S \times E \to 2^E$ and $\psi : S \times E \times E \to 2^E$ are Hausdorff continuous multifunctions with nonempty, closed, decomposable values, satisfying the following conditions.*

(a) There exists $L \in [0, 1)$ such that, for every $s \in S$ and every $u, u' \in E$,

$$D_E(\phi(s, u), \phi(s, u')) \leq L|u - u'|_E.$$

(b) There exists $M \in [0, 1)$ such that $L + M < 1$ and for every $s \in S$ and every $(u, v), (u', v') \in E \times E$,

$$D_E(\psi(s, u, v), \psi(s, u', v')) \leq M(|u - u'|_E + |v - v'|_E).$$

Set $Fix(\Gamma(s, .)) = \{u \in E \mid u \in \Gamma(s, u)\}$, where $\Gamma(s, u) = \psi(s, u, \phi(s, u))$, $(s, u) \in S \times E$. Then the following assertions hold.

(i) For every $s \in S$ the set $Fix(\Gamma(s, .))$ is nonempty and arcwise connected.

(ii) For any $s_i \in S$, and any $u_i \in Fix(\Gamma(s, .)), i = 1, ..., p$, there exists a continuous function $\gamma : S \to E$ such that $\gamma(s) \in Fix(\Gamma(s, .))$ for all $s \in S$ and $\gamma(s_i) = u_i, i = 1, ..., p$.

Lemma 2.2. (see [8]) *Let $U : T \to 2^X$ and $V : T \times X \to 2^X$ be two nonempty closed-valued multifunctions satisfying the following conditions.*

(a) U is measurable and there exists $r \in L^1(T)$ such that $D_X(U(t), \{0\}) \leq r(t)$ for almost all $t \in T$.

(b) The multifunction $t \mapsto V(t, x)$ is measurable for every $x \in X$.

(c) The multifunction $x \mapsto V(t, x)$ is Hausdorff continuous for all $t \in T$.

Let $v : T \to X$ be a measurable selection from $t \to V(t, U(t))$.

Then there exists a selection $u \in L^1(T, X)$ of $U(.)$ such that $v(t) \in V(t, u(t)), t \in T$.

Let $I = [0, 1]$, let $|x|$ be the norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $||A||$ be the norm of any matrix A. As usual, we denote by $C(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the Banach space of all continuous functions from I to $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm $||x(.)||_C = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t)|$, $AC(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of absolutely continuous functions from I to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Banach space of integrable functions $u(.) : I \to \mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with the norm $||u(.)||_1 = \int_0^1 |u(t)| dt$.

A function $x(.) \in AC(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a solution of problem (1.3)-(1.2) if there exists a function $f(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ a.e. (I) such that

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t), \quad a.e. \ (0, 1), \quad Mx(0) + Nx(1) = \eta. \qquad (2.1)$$

Let $\Phi(.)$ be a fundamental matrix solution of the differential equations $x' = A(t)x$ that satisfy $\Phi(0) = I$, where I is the $(n \times n)$ identity matrix.

The next result is well known (e.g. [1]).

Lemma 2.3. (see [1]) *If* $f(.) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *is an integrable function, then the problem*

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t), \quad a.e. \ (0,1), \quad Mx(0) + Nx(1) = 0 \qquad (2.2)$$

has a unique solution provided $\det(M + N\Phi(1)) \neq 0$. *This solution is given by*

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds,$$

with $G(.,.)$ *the Green function associated to problem* (2.2). *Namely,*

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t)J(s) & if \quad 0 \leq t \leq s, \\ \Phi(t)\Phi(s)^{-1} + \Phi(t)J(s) & if \quad s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \qquad (2.3)$$

where $J(t) = -(M + N\Phi(1))^{-1}N\Phi(1)\Phi(t)^{-1}$.

If we consider the problem with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions, i.e. problem (2.1), then it is easy to verify that its solution is given by

$$x(t) = \Phi(t)(M + N\Phi(1))^{-1}\eta + \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds. \qquad (2.4)$$

In the sequel we assume that $A(.)$ is a continuous $(n \times n)$ matrix function, M and N are $(n \times n)$ constant real matrices such that $\det(M + N\Phi(1)) \neq 0$.

In order to study problem (1.1)-(1.2) we introduce the following hypothesis on F.

Hypothesis 2.1. Let $F : I \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^2 \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $H : I \times \mathbb{R}^n \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ be two set-valued maps with nonempty closed values, satisfying the following assumptions.

(i) The set-valued maps $t \to F(t,u,v)$ and $t \to H(t,u)$ are measurable for all $u,v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(ii) There exists $l \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that, for every $u, u' \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$D(H(t,u), H(t,u')) \leq l(t)|u - u'| \quad a.e.(I).$$

(iii) There exist $m \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\theta \in [0,1)$ such that, for every $u, v, u', v' \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$D(F(t,u,v), F(t,u',v')) \leq m(t)|u - u'| + \theta|v - v'| \quad a.e.(I).$$

(iv) There exist $f, g \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$d(0, F(t,0,0)) \leq f(t), \quad d(0, H(t,0)) \leq g(t) \quad a.e.(I).$$

For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by $S(\eta)$ the solution set of (1.1)-(1.2).

In what follows $N(t) := \max\{l(t), m(t)\}$, $t \in I$.

3. The main result

Even if the multifunction from the right-hand side of (1.1) has, in general, nonclosed nonconvex values, the solution set $S(\eta)$ has some meaningful properties, stated in Theorem 3.1 below.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that Hypothesis 2.1 is satisfied and that one has* $2\sup_{t,s\in I} ||G(t,s)|| \int_0^1 N(s)ds + \theta < 1$. *Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) For every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the solution set $S(\eta)$ of (1.1)-(1.2) is nonempty and arcwise connected in the space $C(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) For any $\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $u_i \in S(\eta_i)$, $i = 1,...,p$, there exists a continuous function $s : \mathbb{R}^n \to C(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $s(\eta) \in S(\eta)$ for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s(\eta_i) = u_i, i = 1,...,p$.

(iii) The set $S = \cup_{\eta\in\mathbb{R}^n} S(\eta)$ is arcwise connected in $C(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. (i) For $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$, set

$$P_\eta(t) := \Phi(t)(M + N\Phi(1))^{-1}\eta, \quad t \in I,$$

$$u_\eta(t) = P_\eta(t) + \int_0^1 G(t,s)u(s)ds, \quad t \in I.$$

We prove that the multifunctions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \to 2^{L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \times L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \to 2^{L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)}$ given by

$$\phi(\eta,u) = \{v \in L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, v(t) \in H(t,u_\eta(t)) \quad a.e. \,(I)\},$$

$$\psi(\eta,u,v) = \{w \in L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, w(t) \in F(t,u_\eta(t),v(t)) \quad a.e. \,(I)\},$$

$\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u,v \in L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1.

Since $u_\eta(.)$ is measurable and H satisfies Hypothesis 2.1 (i) and (ii), the multifunction $t \to H(t,u_\eta(t))$ is measurable and nonempty closed-valued, hence it has a measurable selection. Therefore due to Hypothesis 2.1 (iv), the set $\phi(\eta,u)$ is nonempty. The fact that the set $\phi(\eta,u)$ is closed and decomposable follows by simple computation. In the same way we obtain that $\psi(\eta,u,v)$ is a nonempty closed decomposable set.

Pick $(\eta,u),(\eta_1,u_1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and choose $v \in \phi(\eta,u)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $v_1 \in \phi(\eta_1,u_1)$ such that, for every $t \in I$, one has

$$|v(t) - v_1(t)| \leq D(H(t,u_\eta(t)), H(t,u_{\eta_1}(t))) + \varepsilon \leq N(t)[|P_\eta(t) - P_{\eta_1}(t)|$$

$$+ \int_0^1 ||G(t,s)||.|u(s) - u_1(s)|ds] + \varepsilon \leq N(t)[\sup_{t\in I} |\Phi(t)(M+N\Phi(1))^{-1}(\eta - \eta_1)|$$

$$+ \sup_{t,s\in I} ||G(t,s)||.||u - u_1||_1] + \varepsilon.$$

Hence there exists $M_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$||v - v_1||_1 \leq M_0|\eta - \eta_1|. \int_0^1 N(t)dt + \sup_{t,s \in I} ||G(t,s)|| \int_0^1 N(t)dt||u - u_1||_1 + \varepsilon$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

This implies

$$d_{L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)}(v, \phi(\eta_1, u_1)) \leq$$

$$M_0|\eta - \eta_1|. \int_0^1 N(t)dt + \sup_{t,s \in I} ||G(t,s)|| \int_0^1 N(t)dt||u - u_1||_1$$

for all $v \in \phi(\eta, u)$. Therefore,

$$d^*_{L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)}(\phi(\eta, u), \phi(\eta_1, u_1)) \leq$$

$$M_0|\eta - \eta_1|. \int_0^1 N(t)dt + \sup_{t,s \in I} ||G(t,s)|| \int_0^1 N(t)dt||u - u_1||_1.$$

Consequently,

$$D_{L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)}(\phi(\eta, u), \phi(\eta_1, u_1)) \leq$$

$$M_0|\eta - \eta_1|. \int_0^1 N(t)dt + \sup_{t,s \in I} ||G(t,s)|| \int_0^1 N(t)dt||u - u_1||_1,$$

which shows that ϕ is Hausdorff continuous and satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.1.

Pick $(\eta, u, v), (\eta_1, u_1, v_1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and choose $w \in \psi(\eta, u, v)$. Then, as before, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $w_1 \in \psi(\eta_1, u_1, v_1)$ such that, for every $t \in I$, we have:

$$|w(t) - w_1(t)| \leq D(F(t, u_\eta(t), v(t)), F(t, u_{\eta_1}(t), v_1(t))) + \varepsilon \leq N(t)|u_\eta(t) -$$

$$u_{\eta_1}(t)| + \theta|v(t) - v_1(t)| + \varepsilon \leq N(t)[|P_\eta(t) - P_{\eta_1}(t)| + \int_0^1 ||G(t,s)||.|u(s) -$$

$$u_1(s)|ds] + \theta|v(t) - v_1(t)| + \varepsilon \leq N(t)[M_0|\eta - \eta_1| + \sup_{t,s \in I} ||G(t,s)||.||u - u_1||_1]$$

$$+\theta|v(t) - v_1(t)| + \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$||w - w_1||_1 \leq M_0|\eta - \eta_1|. \int_0^1 N(t)dt + \sup_{t,s \in I} ||G(t,s)|| \int_0^1 N(t)dt||u - u_1||_1$$

$$+\theta||v - v_1||_1 + \varepsilon \leq M_0|\eta - \eta_1|. \int_0^1 N(t)dt +$$

$$(\sup_{t,s \in I} ||G(t,s)|| \int_0^1 N(t)dt + \theta)d_{L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)}((u,v), (u_1,v_1)) + \varepsilon.$$

As above, we deduce that

$$D_{L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)}(\psi(\eta, u, v), \psi(\eta_1, u_1, v_1)) \leq M_0 |\eta - \eta_1|. \int_0^1 N(t)dt$$

$$+(\sup_{t,s \in I} ||G(t,s)|| \int_0^1 N(t)dt + \theta)d_{L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^1(I,\mathbb{R}^n)}((u,v),(u_1,v_1)),$$

namely, the multifunction ψ is Hausdorff continuous and satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1.

Define $\Gamma(\eta, u) = \psi(\eta, u, \phi(\eta, u)), (\eta, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$. According to Lemma 2.1, the set $Fix(\Gamma(\eta, .)) = \{u \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid u \in \Gamma(\eta, u)\}$ is nonempty and arcwise connected in $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for fixed $\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v_i \in Fix(\Gamma(\eta_i, .)), i = 1, ..., p$, there exists a continuous function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^n \to L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\gamma(\eta) \in Fix(\Gamma(\eta, .)), \quad \text{for any } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\gamma(\eta_i) = v_i, \quad i = 1, ..., p. \tag{3.2}$$

We shall prove that

$$Fix(\Gamma(\eta, .)) = \{u \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid u(t) \in F(t, u_\eta(t), H(t, u_\eta(t))) \ a.e. \ (I)\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Denote by $A(\eta)$ the right-hand side of (3.3). If $u \in Fix(\Gamma(\eta, .))$, then there is $v \in \phi(\eta, v)$ such that $u \in \psi(\eta, u, v)$. Therefore, $v(t) \in H(t, u_\eta(t))$ and

$$u(t) \in F(t, u_\eta(t), v(t)) \subset F(t, u_\eta(t), H(t, u_\eta(t))) \quad a.e. \ (I),$$

so that $Fix(\Gamma(\eta, .)) \subset A(\eta)$.

Let now $u \in A(\eta)$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a selection $v \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of the multifunction $t \to H(t, u_\eta(t)))$ satisfying

$$u(t) \in F(t, u_\eta(t), v(t)) \quad a.e. \ (I).$$

Hence, $v \in \phi(\eta, v)$, $u \in \psi(\eta, u, v)$ and thus $u \in \Gamma(\eta, u)$, which completes the proof of (3.3).

We next note that the function $\mathcal{T} : L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \to C(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$, given by

$$\mathcal{T}(u)(t) := \int_0^1 G(t, s)u(s)ds, \quad t \in I$$

is continuous and one has

$$S(\eta) = P_\eta(.) + \mathcal{T}(Fix(\Gamma(\eta, .))), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $Fix(\Gamma(\eta, .))$ is nonempty and arcwise connected in $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the set $S(\eta)$ has the same properties in $C(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) Let $\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $u_i \in S(\eta_i), i = 1, ..., p$, be fixed. By (3.4) there exists $v_i \in Fix(\Gamma(\eta_i, .))$ such that

$$u_i = P_{\eta_i}(.) + \mathcal{T}(v_i), \quad i = 1, ..., p.$$

If $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^n \to L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a continuous function satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), we define, for every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$s(\eta) = P_\eta(.) + \mathcal{T}(\gamma(\eta)).$$

Obviously, the function $s : \mathbb{R}^n \to C(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous, $s(\eta) \in S(\eta)$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and

$$s(\eta_i) = P_{\eta_i}(.) + \mathcal{T}(\gamma(\eta_i)) = P_{\eta_i}(.) + \mathcal{T}(v_i) = u_i, \quad i = 1, ..., p.$$

(iii) Let $u_1, u_2 \in S = \cup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} S(\eta)$ and choose $\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 1, 2$ such that $u_i \in S(\eta_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. From the conclusion of 2) we deduce the existence of a continuous function $s : \mathbb{R}^n \to C(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $s(\eta_i) = u_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $s(\eta) \in S(\eta)$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $h : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous mapping such that $h(0) = \eta_1$ and $h(1) = \eta_2$. Then the function $s \circ h : [0, 1] \to C(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous and verifies

$$s \circ h(0) = u_1, \quad s \circ h(1) = u_2, \quad s \circ h(\tau) \in S(h(\tau)) \subset S, \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

References

[1] A. BOUCHERIF and N. CHIBOUB-FELLAH MERABET, Boundary value problems for first order multivalued differential systems, *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, **41** (2005), 187-195.

[2] A. CERNEA, Qualitative properties of solution sets to a class of nonconvex nonclosed integral inclusions, *An. Univ. Bucureşti Mat.*, **49** (2000), 123-131.

[3] A. CERNEA, On the set of solutions of some nonconvex nonclosed hyperbolic differential inclusions, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* , **52 (127)** (2002), 215-224.

[4] A. CERNEA, On the solution set of a nonconvex nonclosed second order differential inclusion, *Fixed Point Theory*, **8** (2007), 29-37.

[5] A. CERNEA, On the set of solutions of a nonconvex nonclosed higher order differential inclusion, *Math. Commun.*, **12** (2007), 221-228.

[6] A. CERNEA, On a boundary value problem for a first order multivalued differential system, *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, **44** (2008), 237-244.

[7] S. MARANO, Fixed points of multivalued contractions with nonclosed, nonconvex values, *Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.*, **5** (1994), 203-212.

[8] S. MARANO and V. STAICU, On the set of solutions to a class of nonconvex nonclosed differential inclusions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **76** (1997), 287-301.

Aurelian Cernea

University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science

14 Academiei Street, 010014 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail: `acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro`