# A Class of Partitionable Graphs with maximum number of edges

by Mihai Talmaciu ,

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bacau, Spiru Haret, 8, 600114, Bacau, Romania, e-mail:mtalmaciu@ub.ro

**Abstract.** We call a graph G O-graph if there are an optimal coloring of the set of vertices of G and an optimal coloring of  $\overline{G}$ , the complement of G, such that any color-class of G intersects any color-class of  $\overline{G}$ . The main result of this paper is characterize this class by forbidden induced subgraphs.

**Key Words:**  $(\alpha,\omega)$ -partitionable graphs, Paw graphs,  $P_4$  graphs, (p,q)-decomposable graphs. AMS(2000):05c17.

### 1 Introduction.

Throughout this paper G = (V, E) is a simple (i.e. finite, undirected, without loops and multiple edges) graph with vertex set V = V(G) and edge set E =E(G). G designates the complement of G. A stable set in G is a set of mutually non-adjacent vertices, and the stability number of G, denoted by  $\alpha(G)$ , is the cardinality of a maximum stable set. The neighborhood of a vertex x is  $N_G(x) =$  $\{y \neq x | xy \in E\}$ , denoted N(x) when there is no ambiguity. The degree of x in G is  $d_G(x) = |N_G(x)|$ . If k is a positive integer, a k-coloring of G is any assignment  $c: V \longrightarrow \{1, ..., k\}$  with the property that for each  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  the set  $c^{-1}(i) = \{v | v \in V, c(v) = i\}$  is a stable set in G. The least possible number k of colors (the set  $S_i = c^{-1}(i)$  is called the color class i of the coloring c) for which a graph G has a k-coloring is called the chromatic number of G and is denoted  $\chi(G)$ . By  $P_n$ ,  $C_n$  and  $K_n$  we mean a chordless path on  $n \geq 3$  vertices, the chordless cycle on  $n \geq 3$  vertices, and the complete graph on  $n \geq 1$  vertices. A clique in G is a subset A of V(G) that induce a complete subgraph in G (that is a stable set in  $\overline{G}$ ) The clique covering number of G (i.e. the chromatic number of  $\overline{G}$ ) will be denoted by  $\theta(G)$ . The density or the clique number of G is the size of a largest clique in G, i.e.,  $\omega(G) = \alpha(\overline{G})$ . A graph G is perfect if  $\alpha(H) = \theta(H)$  (or, equivalently,  $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$ ) holds for any induced subgraph H of G.

The quasi-cartesian product of the two graphs  $G_1=(V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2=(V_2, E_2)$  is the graph  $G_1 \otimes G_2$  whose vertex set is  $V_1 \times V_2$  and two vertices  $(v_1, v_2)$  and

 $(v_1\prime, v_2\prime)$  are adjacent iff:

 $v_1 = v_1'$  and  $v_2v_2' \in E_2$ ;

 $v_1v_1' \in E_1 \text{ and } v_2v_2' \in E_2;$ 

 $v_1v_1' \in E_1 \text{ and } v_2 = v_2'.$ 

Let us recall that in communication theory the determining of  $\alpha(G^m)$ ,  $(G^m)$  $G \otimes G^{m-1}, m \geq 2$ ), has an important role.

It is known (Olaru [8]) that for any two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  holds

$$\alpha(G_1 \otimes G_2) \leq min\{\alpha(G_1)\theta(G_2), \alpha(G_2)\theta(G_1)\},\$$

and, consequently, if  $\alpha(G) = \theta(G)$ , then  $\alpha(G \otimes H) = \alpha(G) \cdot \alpha(H)$ , for any graph H, and so, for such graphs we have

$$\alpha(G^m) = [\alpha(G)]^m, m \ge 1.$$

A graph G is called  $\alpha$  – partitionable if  $\alpha(G) = \theta(G)$  holds.

The famous perfect graphs are, obviously,  $\alpha - partitionable$  (V.Chvatal, R.L.Grahamm, A.F.Perold, S.H.Whiteside, [5]) and their characterization was gives by M.Chudnovsky, N.Robertson, P.D.Seymour, R.Thomas ([3]). Now is to find other class of  $\alpha - partitionable$  graphs, that can be non perfect, e.g.  $\alpha$  – partitionable graphs whose complement is  $\alpha$  – partitionable too.

Let us call a graph G partitionable if  $\theta(G) = \alpha(G)$  and  $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$  holds.

We consider two questions which lead to perfect graphs.

We remind the following theorem of Lovasz ([6]), originally conjectured by Berge ([1]).

**Perfect Graph Theorem.** A graph is perfect if and only if its complements is perfect.

We will give another characterization of perfect graphs in terms of certain polytopes associated with graphs. Let G be a graph. The stable set polytope of G, also known as the vertex packing polytope of G, denoted by STAB(G), is the convex hull in  $\mathbf{R}^{V(G)}$  of all incidence vectors of stable sets of G. A related polytope is the fractional stable set polytope or fractional vertex packing polytope  $QSTAB(G) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{V}(G)}$  defined by the constraints

 $x_v \ge 0$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $\sum_{v \in V(K)} x_v \le 1$  for every clique K in G.

We have  $STAB(G) \subseteq QSTAB(G)$ . The following theorem implies the Perfect Graph Theorem.

**Theorem** ([7]). For any graph G, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) G is perfect,
- (ii) STAB(G) = QSTAB(G),
- (iii)  $\overline{G}$  is perfect,
- (iv)  $STAB(\overline{G}) = QSTAB(\overline{G})$ .

We remind a result of Chyatal ([4]). Let A be a 0,1 matrix. We say that the ith row of a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is undominated if there is no row index  $j \neq i$ such that  $a_{il} \leq a_{jl}$  for all l. Let G be a graph with  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ , and let  $K_1, K_2, ..., K_m$  be its (inclusion-vise) maximal cliques. We define the maximal clique versus vertex incidence matrix of G to be the  $m \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , where  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $v_j \in K_i$ , and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise.

We consider the following linear program:

 $\max c \cdot x$  subject to  $x \ge 0$  and  $Ax \le 1$ .

For which matrices A is it true that for every objective function c, the linear program has integral optimum solution? It turns out that the answer to our question leads directly to perfect graphs.

**Theorem ([4]).** The linear above program has an integral optimum solution for every objective function c if and only if the undominated rows of A form the maximal clique versus vertex incidence matrix of a perfect graph.

# 2 Properties of O-graphs.

In the beginning we give a characterization of O-graphs with the  $\omega$ -partitionable graphs.

**Definition 1.** A graph G is called an O-graph if there are an optimal coloring of G and an optimal coloring of  $\overline{G}$ , the complement of G, such that any color-class of G intersects any color-class of  $\overline{G}$ .

We remind the following results.

**Theorem 2.** ([9]) Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then G is an O-graph if and only if

$$\chi(G) = \omega(G), \chi(\overline{G}) = \alpha(G) \ \ and \ \ n = \alpha(G) \cdot \omega(G).$$

**Remark 3.** ([9]) A graph G is an O-graph if and only if the set of vertices can be partitioned in  $\omega$  stable sets each of it having  $\alpha$  elements and in  $\alpha$  cliques with  $\omega$  vertices.

Corollary 4. ([9]) If G is an O-graph then, any color-class of any optimal coloring intersects any clique from any optimal covering with cliques of G.

**Definition 5.** ([9]) Let p, q be positive integer. A graph G is called (p,q) – decomposable if G admits a p-coloring  $(S_1,...,S_p)$  where  $|S_i| = q$  for all i = 1,...,p.

**Corollary 6.** ([9]) A graph G is O-graph if and only if G is (p,q) – decomposable and  $\overline{G}$  is (q,p) – decomposable, for some p and q.

**Proposition 7.** ([9]) Let G be an O-graph. Then for any optimal coloring  $(S_1,...,S_\omega)$  of G, the subgraph induced by  $S_i \cup S_j$  has a perfect matching for all  $i, j = 1,...,\omega$  with  $i \neq j$ .

Next, we characterize the class of Ograph by forbidden induced subgraphs.

A graph G is called  $\omega$  – partitionable if  $\overline{G}$  is  $\alpha$  – partitionable.

**Definition.** A graf G with n vertices and m edges is called k-maximal (in relation to the number of edges), if its density equals k and any graph with n vertices and more that m edges has the density greater than k.

**Theorem 8.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph with n vertices, m edges,  $\alpha = \alpha(G)$  and  $\omega = \omega(G)$ . Then G is an O-graph with maximum number of edges if and only if G is  $\omega$  – partitionable with  $m = \alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2$ .

*Proof.* Let G = (V, E) be a  $\omega$ -partitionable graph with  $m = \alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2$ . Then there is an  $\omega$ -coloring  $(S_1, S_2, ..., S_\omega)$ . So,  $n \leq \alpha \omega$ . We show that  $d_G(x) = \alpha(\omega - 1)$ ,  $\forall x \in V$ , where  $d_G(x)$  is the degree of x. If  $\exists x_0 \in V$  such that  $d_G(x_0) < \alpha(\omega - 1)$  then (because  $d_G(x) \leq \alpha(\omega - 1)$ ,  $\forall x \in V$ )  $m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} d_G(x) < \frac{1}{2} \alpha \omega \alpha(\omega - 1) = \alpha^2 C_\omega^2 = m$ , a contradiction.

We show that  $|S_i| = \alpha, \forall i = 1, ..., \omega$ .

If  $\exists i_0 \ (1 \leq i_0 \leq \omega)$  such that  $|S_{i_0}| < \alpha$  then  $\exists x_0 \in V - S_{i_0}$  such that  $d_G(x_0) < \alpha(\omega - 1)$ , a contradiction.

Because  $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$  and  $|S_i| = \alpha, \forall i = 1, ..., \omega$ , it follows that  $n = \alpha \omega$ .

Because  $|E(G)| = C_n^2 - m = \omega C_\alpha^2$ ,  $\chi(G) = \omega$  and  $n = \alpha \omega$ , it follows that G admits a partition in  $\omega$   $\alpha$ -stables with the property that two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if they belong to distinct  $\alpha$ -stables, that means that G is complete multipartite with  $\omega$  parts each of them being  $\alpha$ -stable, that means that G is  $\omega$ -maximal. From Turan Theorem ([10], see [2]) it follows that G is O-graph with a maximum number of edges.

Reverse, let G be an O-graph with a maximum number of edges. Then G is  $\omega$ -maximal and any vertex x from each  $\omega$ -clique  $Q_j$  from the partition in  $\alpha$   $\omega$ -cliques  $C = (Q_1, Q_2, ..., Q_{\alpha})$  is adjacent with exactly  $\omega - 1$  vertices from any  $\omega$ -clique of C. So the degree of x,  $d_G(x) = \alpha(\omega - 1)$ . So,  $m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} d_G(x) = \frac{1}{2} n\alpha(\omega - 1) = \alpha^2 C_{\omega}^2$ , because  $n = \alpha \omega$ . Clearly, G is  $\omega$ -partitionable.

**Theorem 9.** Let G be a graph with n vertices,  $\alpha = \alpha(G)$  and  $\omega = \omega(G)$ . Then G is an O-graph with maximum number of edges if and only if G is  $\overline{K}_{1,2}$ -free,  $|E(G)| = \alpha^2 \cdot C_{\omega}^2$ .

Proof. Let G be an  $\overline{K}_{1,2}$ -free graph with  $|E(G)| = \alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2$ . Since G is a  $\overline{K}_{1,2}$ -free graph it follows that G is complete p-partite graph. Indeed. Let us partition the vertex set of G into classes of vertices with the same neighborhood. Since any two adjacent vertices have different neighborhoods (if  $a, b \in V(G)$  and  $ab \in E(G)$  then  $b \in N_G(a)$  and  $a \notin N_G(a)$ ), every class of the partition induces in G an empty graph. Now let x and y be two vertices from different classes, and suppose that x is not adjacent to y. Since x and y have different neighborhoods, there must exist a vertex z adjacent to one of them but non adjacent to another one. But then x, y, z induce in G a  $\overline{K}_{1,2}$ . This contradiction prove that the classes of the partition are the parts of a complete p-partite graph.

We show that G is O-graph with maximum number of edges. We know that G is disjoint reunion of p cliques and is q-partite. Let  $(S_1, S_2, ... S_p)$  be a p-partition in stables of G with  $|S_i| = s_i, \ 1 \le i \le p$  and  $(Q_1, Q_2, ... Q_q)$  a q-partition in stable of  $\overline{G}$  with  $|Q_j| = q_j, \ 1 \le j \le q$ . Since G is complete p-partite results that  $p \le \omega$  and  $|E(\overline{G})| = \sum_{i=1}^p s_i \cdot (s_i - 1)/2$ . Since  $S_i \ (1 \le i \le p)$  are stables in G results also that  $s_i \le \alpha \ (1 \le i \le p)$ . Since  $\omega \cdot C_\alpha^2 = |E(\overline{G})| = \sum_{i=1}^p s_i \cdot (s_i - 1)/2 \le \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha \cdot (\alpha - 1)/2 = p \cdot \alpha \cdot (\alpha - 1)/2$  it results  $\omega \le p$ . It follows that  $p = \omega$ . Since  $\overline{G}$  is q-partite and is a disjoint reunion of p cliques results that  $q \le \alpha$  and  $|E(G)| = \sum_{j=1}^q q_j \cdot (q_j - 1)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^q q_i \cdot (q_j - 1)$ . Since  $Q_j \ (1 \le j \le q)$  are stables in  $\overline{G}$  results that  $q_j \le \omega \ (1 \le j \le q)$ . So  $\alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2 = |E(G)| = \sum_{j=1}^q q_j \cdot (q_j - 1)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^q q_i \cdot (q_j - 1)$  of  $C_\omega^2 = q^2 \cdot C_\omega^2$ . Since  $C_\omega^2 = |E(G)| = \sum_{j=1}^q q_j \cdot (q_j - 1)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=i+1}^q q_i \cdot (q_j - 1)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=i+1}^q q_j \cdot (q_j - 1)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=i$ 

So  $\alpha^2 \leq q^2$ . It follows that  $q = \alpha$ . So G admits an  $\omega$ -partition  $(S_1, ..., S_\omega)$  in stables and  $\overline{G}$  admits an  $\alpha$ -partition  $(Q_1, ..., Q_\alpha)$  in stables (in  $\overline{G}$ ). Since  $p = \omega$  and  $\omega \cdot C_\alpha^2 = |E(\overline{G})| = \sum_{i=1}^p s_i \cdot (s_i - 1)/2$  it follows that  $\omega \cdot C_\alpha^2 = \sum_{i=1}^\omega s_i \cdot (s_i - 1)/2$ . So  $s_i = |S_i| = \alpha$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \omega$ ) otherwise it would exists a stable  $S_i$  with  $|S_i| > \alpha$ . Since  $q = \alpha$  and  $\alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2 = |E(G)| = \sum_{j=1}^q q_j \cdot (q_j - 1)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^q q_i \cdot (q_j - 1)$  it follows that  $\alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\alpha q_j \cdot (q_j - 1)/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^\alpha q_i \cdot (q_j - 1)$ . So  $q_j = |Q_j| = \omega$  ( $1 \leq j \leq \alpha$ ), otherwise it would exists a clique  $Q_j$  with  $|Q_j| > \omega$ . So G is  $(\omega, \alpha)$ -decomposable and  $\overline{G}$  is  $(\alpha, \omega)$ -decomposable. So G is O-graph. G has a maximum number of edges, because otherwise it would exists a clique of cardinal  $> \omega$  (because it would exists a vertex a  $\omega$ -clique  $Q_j$  adjacent all vertices a  $\omega$ -cliques  $Q_i$ ,  $k \neq j$ ).

Suppose that G is a O-graph with maximum number of edges. Since G is  $(\omega,\alpha)-decomposable$  graph with maximum number of edges it follows that G is a  $\overline{K}_{1,2}-free$ . Indeed. Any three vertices induce in G either  $\overline{K}_3$  (if all of them are in the same part) or  $K_{1,2}$  (if two of them are in one part) or  $K_3$  (if all the vertices are in different parts). Thus G does not contains  $\overline{K}_{1,2}$  as an induced subgraph. We show that  $|E(G)| = \alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2$ . We know that  $\overline{G}$  is a disjoint reunion of  $\omega$  cliques of cardinality  $\alpha$ . So  $|E(\overline{G})| = \omega \cdot C_\alpha^2$ . Since  $n = \alpha \cdot \omega$  and  $|E(G)| = C_n^2 - |E(\overline{G})|$  it follows that  $|E(G)| = \alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let G be a connected graph with n vertices,  $\alpha = \alpha(G)$  and  $\omega = \omega(G)$ . Then G is an O-graph with maximum number of edges if and only if G is  $(P_4, Paw)$ -free,  $|E(G)| = \alpha^2 \cdot C_\omega^2$ .

*Proof.* Let G be an O-graph with maximum number of edges. Then G is complete  $\omega$ -partite with the parts of the same cardinality  $\alpha$  and  $\overline{G}$  is a disjoint reunion of  $\omega$  cliques of  $\alpha$  cardinal. So G is connected,  $(P_4, Paw)$ -free,  $|E(\overline{G})| = \omega \cdot C_{\alpha}^2$  and  $|E(G)| = C_n^2 - |E(\overline{G})| = \alpha^2 \cdot C_{\alpha}^2$ .

 $\omega \cdot C_{\alpha}^2$  and  $|E(G)| = C_n^2 - |E(\overline{G})| = \alpha^2 \cdot C_{\omega}^2$ . Let G be connected,  $(P_4, Paw)$ -free,  $|E(G)| = \alpha^2 \cdot C_{\omega}^2$ . We show that G is  $\overline{K}_{1,2}$ -free. Suppose that vertices a,b,c induce in G a  $\overline{K}_{1,2}$  ( $ac \in E(G)$ ). Let  $P_{ab}$  be a shortest path linking a to b in G. Since  $P_4$  is forbiden, this path is exactly of length two. Let d be the unique internal vertex of the path. If d is adjacent to c, then a,b,c,d induce a Paw. If d is not adjacent to c, then a,b,c,d induce a  $P_4$ . Therefore G does not contains  $\overline{K}_{1,2}$  as an induced subgraph. From Theorem 9 it follows that G is an O-graph with maximum of edges.

## References

- [1] C.Berge, Farburn von Graphen deren samtliche bzv. deren ungerade Kreise starr sind (Zusammenfassung), Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift, Martin Luther Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Mathematics-Naturwissenschaftliche Reihe (1961),114-115.
- [2] C.Berge, *Graphs*, (Nort-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985).
- [3] Maria Chudnovsky, Neil Robertson, P.D. Seymour, Robin Thomas, Strong Perfect Graph Theory, http://arxiv.org/PS-chache/math/pdf/0212/0212070.pdf
- [4] V.Chvatal, On certain polytopes associated with graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 13(1975), 138-154.
- [5] V.Chvatal, R.L.Graham, A.F.Perold, S.H.Whiteside, Combinatorial designs related to the perfect graph conjecture, Discr. Math. 26(1976), 83-92.
- [6] L.Lovasz, Normal hypergraphs and the weak perfect graph conjecture, Discrete Math. 2(1972), 253-267.
- [7] L.Lovasz and M.Plummer, *Matching theory*, Annals of Discrete Math. 29, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1986).
- [8] E.Olaru, .Eine Anwendung der a zerlegbaren Graphen in der Information theory, An. St. ale Univ. "Al.I.Cuza" Iasi, tom XVIII, 1971, fasc.2, 275-285.
- [9] E.Olaru, M.Talmaciu, A Class of Partitionable Graphs , Bulletin Mathematique de la Societe des Sciences Mathematiques de Roumanie, Tome 48(96), no.3/2005, 313-318.
- [10] P.Turan, An external problem in graph theory (hongrois) Graphs, Mat. Fiz. Lapok, 48,1941, 436-452.

#### Author's address:

Talmaciu Mihai, Department of Mathematics, University of Bacau, Spiru Haret, 8, 600114, Bacau, Romania, e-mail:mtalmaciu@ub.ro